	ORGANISTAION	RESPONSE	BOPC RESPONSE	HAS NDP BEEN ALTERED
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	In response doc - The question asks for comments to be made against paragraph numbers, however, the Draft Review Neighbourhood Plan does not include paragraph numbers. It would be very helpful to users of the Plan for these to be included	Noted. This will be done on submission	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	It would be useful for the contents page to contain a list of policies and for the contents to be "clickable"	We will include policies in the contents page.	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Recommend having a single policies map so that the designations and allocations can be read together in context, with separate maps for Barby and Onley if necessary. Add the following acknowledgement for maps where appropriate, possibly at the end of the	We do not consider this necessary. There are too many separate designations to make a single policies map affective.	None
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	plan: © Crown copyright [and database rights] 2024 OS 0100063706. You are granted a nonexclusive, royalty free, revocable licence solely to view the Licensed Data for noncommercial purposes for the period during which West Northamptonshire Council makes it available. You are not permitted to copy, sub-license, distribute, sell or otherwise make available the Licensed Data to third parties in any form. Third party rights to enforce the terms of this licence shall be reserved to OS.	We disagree. The NP figures use Ordnance Survey maps via Parish Online and this includes a Licence and the copyright line provided	None
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	The Government will be consulting on revisions to the NPPF in 2024. Depending on the timing of this, ensure that the neighbourhood plan has regard to the latest national policy, including references to relevant paragraphs.	Noted. The NP will be updated as necessary at time of submission if changes have been made.	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	The following comments are made for "accessibility" reasons and will be necessary for West Northants Council to publish the submitted neighbourhood plan: • Text should be left (not fully) justified. • Avoid using italic text. • Avoid using coloured text for the Vision and policies, black text is preferred. If you want the text to stand out, you could bolden it. • Remove appendices A and C from the document, they are evidence base documents and do not form part of the neighbourhood plan. Moreover, they do not meet accessibility guidelines. It is recommended that they are held on the Parish Council website for reference.	Noted and accessibility issues will be addressed on submission.	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	page 11 Does the census data include prison residents as resident in Barby/Onley? If it does, it would affect any demographic figures. It says that only 15 new homes have been built since 2011. There have been a number of caravan sites and plots that have been given planning permission recently so that could help account for any anomalies	Noted. This reference will be included.	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 13 & 16 Support housing objective 6 on page 13. Page 16 states that 51 people responded to a survey, what questions did the survey ask on housing? It is assumed that all consultation events will be detailed in the Consultation Statement that will be submitted in due course.	This is correct	None

Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 21 The Parish Council will be aware that West Northants Council is preparing a new Local Plan. As part of this, we are reviewing the settlement hierarchy and confines across the whole of West Northants. Lower tier settlements may have confines defined, however, this will be subject to further consultation later in 2024.	Noted	None
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 23 fig 2 This map needs the following copyright adding over the map image: © Crown copyright and database rights 2024 Ordnance Survey licence no. 0100063706	Agreed	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 36 para 3 & 5 As policy ENV4 part c) has been removed, it is recommended that and 5 the text on Great Crested Newts in para 3 is replaced with that from para 5.	Agreed	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 37 Wildlife coridoors Suggest adding in how the wildlife corridors have been identified.	Agreed. A part of the narrative explaining that the mapped (figure 6) WLCs provide connectivity between identified biodiversity sates (habitat areas) - which are also as mapped in figure 5 can be included.	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 38 Sites and features of historic environment significance – recommend the following change: A number of sites in the Neighbourhood Area are known to be of at least local significance for history. They comprise all parcels of land of known local history heritage significance'	We can add the word heritage	Change to be made as indicated
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Page 39 Statutorily protected heritage assets - at the end of the introductory paragraph it might be good to have a sentence to say 'Further details of each designated heritage asset can be found at Search the List - Find listed buildings, monuments, battlefields and more Historic England'	We can add this reference	Change to be made as indicated

	West Northants	Policy H1 What is the justification for "up to 4 homes"? There is a need for 1.3 affordable low cost home ownership homes per annum and at least 3 affordable rented homes per annum. The site could take more homes and the evidence seems to show that the need is much greater than 4 dwellings, although this is not clear in the report. In 2019 the WNC housing need survey showed a need for 7 affordable rented homes and numbers on the housing register has stayed consistent in subsequent years. A site of less than 8-10 dwellings is very difficult to bring forward due to viability issues. With a larger site you will have income from the sales of the properties to put in the roads etc. With just 2-4 dwellings, roads, utilities, and other infrastructure will be difficult to deliver. A larger School Close site could accommodate 10-12 homes and would ensure a good mix of house types and tenures meeting the needs	The site boudary will be redrawn to accommodate the four dwellings proposed. Barby and Only does not have a housing requirement from WNC therefore any additional dwellings are to be welcomed. Further development is supported through the Village Confines and through the support for an affordable housing exception site, so this	
	West Northants			
Local Planning	Council (Planning	of the whole village, not just 3 or 4 households, and mean that a	allocation contributes significantly to	
Authority	Policy)	site could be delivered.	the overall provision of housing.	None

West Northant Polevide proposals on sites within the Vilage Confines as Identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be Polevide properties of the State of Barty and comply with the In the State of this Pan. Polevide properties of the State of Barty and comply with the In the State of this Pan. Polevide properties of the State of Barty and Comply with the In the State of this Pan. Polevide properties of the State of Barty and Comply with the In the State of the State of Barty and Comply with the Vilage Confines as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the In the State of the State of Barty and Comply with the Vilage Confines as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the In the Wilage Confines as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the In the Wilage Confines as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the In the Wilage Confines as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the State of Barty and Comply with the Confirms as Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State of Barty and Comply with the Polevide Panel State Panel Panel Panel Panel Panel Panel Panel Panel Panel P					
a) Development proposals on stess within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of the Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other Graventy Settlements and Countrysie Local Plan (SCLP) Plan (The Plan Last sentence of b) doesn't link will to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is setting to achieve; it is saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity, Policy H2 a) Recomment re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of the Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II they settlements and Countrysie Local Plan (SCLP) PlAA. The last sentence of b) doesn't link will b a careptable according to Daventry settlements and Countrysie Local Plan (SCLP) PlAA. The last sentence of b) doesn't link will b 1 and II so it is unclear what It is settlement to proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies if us advices, it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity, Policy H2 a) Recomment re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in figure 2 below, will only be apported where they be expected for respect. This should be acceptable according to Downtry Settlements and thow will be acceptable accord			Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:		
is identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported "where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II thos specific forms of development. This should be redrafted for clarity, Policy P2a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported "this should be redrafted for clarity, Policy P2a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported what the set of the Carby Policy P2a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, thro goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other what it is seeking to achieve, is it says that development has to be in 2 be in a line with local and national policy, thro goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other what it is seeking to achieve, is it says that development has to be in a line with the voluble according to the sectored by doesn't link well to 1 and II to it surclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it says that development has to first. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, thro goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other set of bold development is proposal on sters within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only the supported what the set of bold development is proposal on stere within the Village Confines as identifi					
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development, then volub the acceptable according to Desting the subject of the					
policies of this Plan. b) ocud be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then gaes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Deventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) deesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, it is saying that outly those two forms of development rule busported? This should be redarited for Carity, Policy H2 a) development runpowed so takes within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then gaes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development not work with the supported rule or says of the development with the and Caritryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) deesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, its is saying that outly those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redarited for Caritry, Policy H2 a) Recomment re drafting to be possively whithere as follows: b) and the twander of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then gaes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Deventry. Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) deesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is settline to respect the dracacter of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says					
 b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in land line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and lit two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what t is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only these two forms of development ry prosession sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This should be redrafted for Carity. Policy P2 a) Recommend red-rafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This should be redrafted for Carity. Policy P2 a) Recomment and the character of Branty and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be cleared that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countrysite Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to all II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only these two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for Carity. Policy P2 a) Recomment (Link David Development). However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This should be redrafted for Carity. Policy P2 a) Recommend redrafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported that the bus be in line with local and nati					
Ine with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 andII Two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherforms of development that would be acceptable according toDeventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAS. Thelast sentence of b) deesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear whatit is sacking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms ofdevelopment ruping to be positively written as follows:a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines asidentified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? there they beexpected to respect the character of Barby and comply with thepolices of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be inIne with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 andIT two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherforms of development that would be acceptable according toDaventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) R46. Thebare to the character of Barby and comply with theit is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms ofdevelopment will be supported? This should be reaching for Carbity, Policy H2 a)Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:a) Development mill be upported? This should be reaching for Carbity, Policy H2 a)Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:a) Development mill be writting and comply with the village confines asidentified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they beexpected to respect the character of Barby and comply with theb					
II two specific forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) descrit link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrifted for clarity, Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites writin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2. below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by the character of Barby and comply with the palled by achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development time would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) PA6. The lat seeking to achieve, is it say					
forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAS. The last sentence of b) desert link well to I and II so I is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that tonly those two forms of development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the b) Could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, them goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAS. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on stes within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the polepoment proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in F					
Deverty Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last strence of b) desm't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be erdified for Carity, Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development try toposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) OcoUld be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and If they set in the work of development. However, this ingores other forms of development. However, this ingores other forms of development the volid be carderafted for Carity, Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This should be redrafted for Carity, Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only the set out in 1 and It is seeking to achieve, is i					
Is set sentence of b) doesn't link will to I and II so it s unclear what It is sesking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clurity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported there they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that volid be acceptable accerding to Dowelopment proposals on sites within the Village Confines as idevelopment will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) development will be supported? This should be cardifted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positivel written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confine					
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development twill be supported? This should be reacharded for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites writtin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) Could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and I two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development ying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites writhin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development have ti s seeking to achievely, it saying that only those store forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development. Howevels the is lances other <					
development will be supported? This should be redrated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites writhin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable accounding to Davelopment proposals on sites writin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has tool be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and hoten policy. a) Development proposals on sites writhin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will on JSCLP J NAS. The a) Development that wo			,		
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SLD) PAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and I is oi is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development. However, this ignores at identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be care, the first part says that development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SLD) PAG. The last sentence of this sould be care related for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development thus supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SLD) PAG. The last sentence of this sould be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SLD) PAG. The last sentence of this sould be clearer, the first part says that development that would be acceptable accordi			5 1 1 5 1		
a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and 11 so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and 11 so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it signing that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported there they be expected to respect t					
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they beexpected to respect the character of Barby and comply with thepolicies of this Plan.b) Could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be inline with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I andII two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherforms of development that would be acceptable according toDaventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. Thelast sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear whatit is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms ofdevelopment till be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a)Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines asidentified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they beexpected to respect the character of Barby and comply with thepolicies of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development that to be inline with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I andII two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherforms of development, they were, this ignores otherforms of development, that would be acceptable according toDaventry Settements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. Thelast sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear whatt is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only these two forms ofdevelopment that would be acceptable according toDaventry Settements and Countryside Local Plan (SCL					
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The list seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The list seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend redrafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development that would be acceptable acccording to Daventry Settlements and Cou					
 policies of this Pian. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only bus puported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so its unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the polices of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in the Made MP Policy DO-H2 and states that development. Using were, the village confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the village confines as ide					
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and It two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development think well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development through be according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development think be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development troposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This policy wording was taken form the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development through accerted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be suppo			1 1 7 1 7		
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported Where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II and II to specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development the supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend red-arting to be positively written as follows: a) Development myoposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and ti is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development they be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend red-arting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on s					
If two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be tearer, the first part says that development as a loweled for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development will be supported? This should be tearer for Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development touside the village confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in the wide local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and the wide Policy BO-H2 and sta					
forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development will be supported? This unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This bound be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be supported? This should be caceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be supported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be upported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be upported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be upported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be upported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment will be upported? This should be redarated for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment re-drafting to be positively written as follows					
Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) Ad6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Willage Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development will be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.					
last servence of b) doesn't link well to 1 and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites writtin the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and If two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be accerding to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RAG. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development mit proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the Development morposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy BO-H2 and states a)					
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II I so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend ne-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in to policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with bocal and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other that development outside the village confines sati identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms					
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in 1 and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daverby Settlement shart Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development will be supported? a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in identified					
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development well be prostively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and attional policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other					
 a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and III two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and III so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states the development blocal and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II I two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other 					
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.b)b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements					
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I andII two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development. However, this ignores otherb) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and states that development outside the village					
 policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other 					
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recomment re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other a policies will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements					
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy, the goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and line with local and national policy. However, this ignores otherThis policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements					
II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policie forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and stresses two elements					
forms of development that would be acceptable according to Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other					
Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and stresses two elements					
last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements					
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and stresses two elements					
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a) Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policie forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and stresses two elements					
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows: a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policie forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy and stresses two elements					
 a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other 					
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan. b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements					
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the policies of this Plan.This policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherThis policy wording was taken from the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and states that development outside the village confines will follow local and national policy and stresses two elements			, I I I I		
policies of this Plan.the Made NP Policy BO-H2 and statesb) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be inthat development outside the villageline with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I andconfines will follow local and nationalII two specific forms of development. However, this ignores otherpolicy and stresses two elements					
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other				, ,	
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other policy and stresses two elements				,	
II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other policy and stresses two elements					
West Northants forms of development that would be acceptable according to that are particularly welcomed. As					
		West Northants	forms of development that would be acceptable according to	that are particularly welcomed. As	
Local Planning Council (Planning Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The such it is clear what the policy intent	Local Planning	Council (Planning	Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The	such it is clear what the policy intent	
Authority Policy) last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what is. None	Authority	Policy)	last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what	is.	None

it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of	
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a)	
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:	
a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as	
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be	
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the	
policies of this Plan.	
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in	
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and	
II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other	
forms of development that would be acceptable according to	
Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The	
last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what	
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of	
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a)	
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:	
a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as	
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be	
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the	
policies of this Plan.	
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in	
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and	
II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other	
forms of development that would be acceptable according to	
Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what	
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of	
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity. Policy H2 a)	
Recommend re-drafting to be positively written as follows:	
a) Development proposals on sites within the Village Confines as	
identified in Figure 2 below, will only be supported where they be	
expected to respect the character of Barby and comply with the	
policies of this Plan.	
b) could be clearer, the first part says that development has to be in	
line with local and national policy, then goes on to set out in I and	
II two specific forms of development. However, this ignores other	
forms of development that would be acceptable according to	
Daventry Settlements and Countryside Local Plan (SCLP) RA6. The	
last sentence of b) doesn't link well to I and II so it is unclear what	
it is seeking to achieve, is it saying that only those two forms of	
development will be supported? This should be redrafted for clarity.	

None

	 supported. Policy H3 a) The HNA should not be an appendix, it is an evidence base document. b) A good mix of dwellings types is welcome, however, there does not appear to be a clear link between how the housing need information in the assessment relates to the mix of housing that is being proposed. Point 166 of the HNA states that there is a need for 2 and 3 beds and 1 and 2 beds affordable dwellings. Bungalows to meet the need for older persons accommodation is referred to in the assessment and is welcomed. The need for a 65/35% mix on the affordable rent/home ownership has been evidenced and is supported. Policy H3 a) The HNA should not be an appendix, it is an evidence base document. b) A good mix of dwellings types is welcome, however, there does not appear to be a clear link between how the housing need information in the assessment relates to the mix of housing that is being proposed. Point 166 of the HNA states that there is a need for 2 and 3 beds and 1 and 2 beds affordable dwellings. Bungalows to meet the need for older persons accommodation is referred to in the assessment relates to the mix of housing that is being proposed. Point 166 of the HNA states that there is a need for 2 and 3 beds and 1 and 2 beds affordable dwellings. Bungalows to meet the need for older persons accommodation is referred to in the assessment and is welcomed. The need for a 65/35% mix on the affordable rent/home ownership has been evidenced and is supported. 	

		Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception schemes.		
		c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to		
		make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.		
		Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable		
		housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,		
		this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of		
		marketing is suggested.		
		Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c).		
		f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount		
		should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the		
		Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to		
		draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of		
		this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but		
		perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate		
		from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is		
		necessary through a viability study of affordability across West		
		Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount'		
		instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict. Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception		
		schemes.		
		c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to		
		make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.		
		Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable		
		housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,		
		this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of		
		marketing is suggested.		
		Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c).		
		f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount		
		should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the		
		Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to		
		draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of		
		this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but		
		perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate		
		from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is		
		necessary through a viability study of affordability across West		
		Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount'		
		instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict. Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception		
		schemes.		
		c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to		
		make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.	Manual and the realized in the little	
		Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable	We will amend the policy in relation	
		housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,	local Market housing, but we wish to	
		this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of	retain the First Homes discount of	
		marketing is suggested.	50% subject to viability as the	
		Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c). f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount	evidence from the HNA supports this.	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	We respectfully suggest that the	
		should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to	Local Plan Review should allow for local variations through	
	West Northants	draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of	neighbourhood plans where evidence	
Local Planning	Council (Planning	this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but	of local need is evidenced as it has	Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate	been here.	indicated
Autioncy	i olicy)	perhaps work put a limit on this. Should the council wish to deviate		indicated

from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is	
necessary through a viability study of affordability across West	
Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount'	
instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict. Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception	
schemes.	
c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to	
make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.	
Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable	
housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,	
this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of	
marketing is suggested.	
Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c).	
f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount	
should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the	
Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to	
draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of	
this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but	
perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate	
from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is	
necessary through a viability study of affordability across West	
Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount'	
instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict. Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception	
schemes.	
c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to	
make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.	
Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable	
housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,	
this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of	
marketing is suggested.	
Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c).	
f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount	
should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the	
Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to	
draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of	
this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but	
perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate	
from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is	
necessary through a viability study of affordability across West	
Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount'	
instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict. Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception	
schemes.	
c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to	
make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA.	
Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable	
housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing,	
this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of	
marketing is suggested.	
Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c).	
f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount	
should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the	
should be a maximum of 50%, but this was put in plate for the	

	Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is necessary through a viability study of affordability across West Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount' instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict.Policy H4 Support a)-e) for rural exception schemes. c) Market housing should be referred to as local market housing to make it clear that it also must meet the need identified in the HNA. Under current local policy we can only ensure that affordable housing is made available in perpetuity, not local market housing, this can only be local market on first sale, and the first 12 weeks of marketing is suggested. Sequentially d) would read better if it was before c). f) The WNC interim First Homes Policy states that the discount should be a maximum of 30%, but this was put in place for the Government's pilot scheme. Work is currently being carried out to draft a full First Homes Policy. Without pre-judging the outcome of this, it is likely that WNC will be staying with the 30% discount, but perhaps won't put a limit on this. Should the Council wish to deviate from 30%, as a local authority, we will have to show why this is necessary through a viability study of affordability across West Northants. Amend level of discount to a 'minimum of 30% discount' instead of 50% to avoid potential conflict.		
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

	,		i de la constante d	
		Policy H5 b) If this policy applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).		
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy		
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).		
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy		
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).		
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy		
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).		
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy		
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).		
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy		
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary		
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should		
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate		
		scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".		
		"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).	The policy applies to Barby as it	
		The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text. Policy H5 b) If this policy	refers to development within the	
		applies to both Barby and Onley, part b) is contrary	village confines. The reference to	
		to the Daventry SCLP policy RA2 Ci) in respect of Barby. It should	small-scale is taken from Policy BO-	
		not say "small scale", it would be better to say "An appropriate	D2 from the Made Neighbourhood	
	West Northants	scale relative to the village's role as a secondary service village".	Plan so is an established form of	
Local Planning	Council (Planning	"Small scale" is appropriate for Onley (ref. Daventry SCLP RA3 Ci).	words that is already in the	
Authority	Policy)	The text in brackets would sit better in the supporting text.	development plan for Barby.	None
, lacitoricy	1 011097	The text in breakers from sit better in the supporting text.	acterophicite plan for barby.	Hone

		Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
		design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
		massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character		
		of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and		
		Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of		
		other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design		
		and standard of any new development should meet a high level of		
		sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy		
		efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions. Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
		design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
		massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character		
		of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and		
		Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of		
		other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design		
		and standard of any new development should meet a high level of		
		sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy		
		efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions.Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
		design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
		massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character		
		of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and		
		Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design		
		and standard of any new development should meet a high level of		
		sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy		
		efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions.Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
		design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
		massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character		
		of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and		
		Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of		
		other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design		
		and standard of any new development should meet a high level of		
		sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy		
		efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions. Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
		design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
		massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character		
		of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and		
		Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of		
		other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design		
		and standard of any new development should meet a high level of		
		sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy		
		efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions. Policy H6 Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of		
	West Northants	design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character	Agreed. Will add in reference to	Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and	'appropriate scale and massing'	indicated

Orford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design and standard of any new development should meet a high level of sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions.Policy H6 Recommend re-defining as follows: a) All development proposals should demonstrate a high quality of design, layout and use of materials, and appropriate scale and massing, which make a positive contribution to the special character of the Neighbourhood Area, especially within the Grand Union and Oxford Canal Conservation Area or its setting and the setting of other designated and non-designated heritage assets. The design and standard of any new development should meet a high level of sustainable design and construction and be optimised for energy efficiency, targeting zero carbon emissions.	
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

		Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make reference to the map easier (see ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make reference to the map easier (see		
		ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make		
		reference to the map easier (see		
		ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make		
		reference to the map easier (see		
		ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make		
		reference to the map easier (see ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make		
		reference to the map easier (see		
		ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease		
		of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV1 Sites should be numbered to make		
	West Northants	reference to the map easier (see		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	ENV7) and set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease	We will number the sites and bullet-	Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	of reading and accessibility reasons.	point the list.	indicated
		Policy ENV2 Suggest setting out as one rather than two columns of text and avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting		
		out as one rather than two columns of text and		
		avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting		
		out as one rather than two columns of text and		
		avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting		
		out as one rather than two columns of text and		
		avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting		
		out as one rather than two columns of text and		
		avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting out as one rather than two columns of text and		
	West Northants	avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. Policy ENV2 Suggest setting		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	out as one rather than two columns of text and	We do not consider this to be	
Authority	Policy)	avoid italic text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons.	necessary.	None

		Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is generally correct, it	We do not consider this to be	
		has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not	necessary or achievable, except in	
		be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not	any rare cases where it is obvious to	
		been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure	the parish council that the site clearly	
		5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural	no longer exhibits the habitat type for	
		England have given permission for the data to be published.	which it has been designated - for	
		b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to	example as a result of development	
		provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be	since Natural England's assessment.	
		required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide	This did not occur during drafting of	
		biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of	Barby & Onley NP. Otherwise these	
		the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is	are 'existing designations' formally	
		generally correct, it	designated by Natural England. We	
		has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not	would expect Natural England to	
		be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not	comment if there were any	
		been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure	inaccuracies, but they have not.	
		5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural		
		England have given permission for the data to be published.		
		b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to		
		provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be		
		required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide		
		biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of		
		the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is		
		generally correct, it		
		has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not		
		be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not		
		been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure		
		5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural		
		England have given permission for the data to be published.		
		b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to		
		provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be		
		required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide		
		biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of		
		the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is		
		generally correct, it		
		has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not		
		be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not		
		been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure		
		5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural		
		England have given permission for the data to be published.		
		b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to		
		provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be		
		required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide		
		biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of		
		the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is		
		generally correct, it		
		has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not		
		be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not		
	West Northants	been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural		
Authority	Policy)	England have given permission for the data to be published.		None
/	-11		1	

	 b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is generally correct, it has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure 5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural England have given permission for the data to be published. b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the nature and scale of the proposed development. Policy Env 3 Whilst the source data for priority habitats is generally correct, it has not been ground truthed and in some cases a habitat will not be present on the ground. Similarly, there are areas that have not been mapped. It is recommended that a footnote is added to figure 5 to that effect. It is important that the Records Office and Natural England have given permission for the data to be published. b) Since 2 April 2023, almost all developments are required to provide 10% net gain. Where exempted, developments cannot be required to deliver 10% net gain, but can be required to provide biodiversity enhancements proportionate to the provide 10% net gain. 		
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

1	I	Policy ENV 4 Recommend re-drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between	Agreed	Change to be made as
		biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects:	Agreed	indicated
		a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected		Indicated
		to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local		
		significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If		
		significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through		
		relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts),		
		adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite		
		enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum		
		10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for		
		paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.Policy ENV 4 Recommend re-		
		drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between		
		biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects:		
		a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected		
		to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local		
		significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If		
		significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through		
		relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts),		
		adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite		
		enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum		
		10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for		
		paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.Policy ENV 4 Recommend re-		
		drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between		
		biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects:		
		a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected		
		to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local		
		significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If		
		significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through		
		relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts),		
		adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite		
		enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum		
		10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for		
		paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework. Policy ENV 4 Recommend re-		
		drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between		
		biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects:		
		a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected		
		to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local		
		significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If		
		significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through		
		relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts),		
		adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite		
		enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum		
		10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for		
		paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.Policy ENV 4 Recommend re-		
		drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between		
		biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects:		
		a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected		
	West Northants	to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If		
Authority	Policy)	significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through		
			I	1

	relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum 10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.Policy ENV 4 Recommend re- drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects: a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum 10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.Policy ENV 4 Recommend re- drafting part a) as follows to differentiate between biodiversity net gain and compensation for adverse effects: a)All new development proposals in Barby & Onley will be expected to safeguard habitats and species, including those of local significance, and to deliver at least 10% biodiversity net gain. If significant harm to biodiversity cannot be avoided (through relocating to an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or dealt with through onsite or offsite enhancement compensation (via biodiversity net gain at minimum 10%), planning permission should be refused, having regard for paragraph 180a of the National Planning Policy Framework.	
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

		Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows:	Agreed - the amendment to para b)	Change to be made as
		a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at	includes reference to the HER which	indicated
		least local significance for history heritage.	satisfies the need to refer to the	
		b) Development proposals should take full account of known	Planning Archaelogist We will	
		surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and	remove the Scheduled Monument	
		potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately	from Figure 7 so that all that remains	
		considered during development and after consultation with the	are the sites and features of Historic	
		Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and	Environment Significance	
			Environment Significance	
		during development.		
		Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist.		
		Combine figures 7 and 8. Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows:		
		a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at		
		least local significance for history heritage.		
		b) Development proposals should take full account of known		
		surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and		
		potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately		
		considered during development and after consultation with the		
		Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and		
		during development.		
		Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist.		
		Combine figures 7 and 8.Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows:		
		a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at		
		least local significance for history heritage.		
		5 , 5		
		b) Development proposals should take full account of known		
		surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and		
		potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately		
		considered during development and after consultation with the		
		Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and		
		during development.		
		Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist.		
		Combine figures 7 and 8.Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows:		
		a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at		
		least local significance for history heritage.		
		b) Development proposals should take full account of known		
		surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and		
		potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately		
		considered during development and after consultation with the		
		Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and		
		during development.		
		Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist.		
		Combine figures 7 and 8.Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows:		
		a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at		
		least local significance for history heritage.		
		b) Development proposals should take full account of known		
		surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and		
		potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately		
		considered during development and after consultation with the		
	West Northants	Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	during development.		
Authority	Policy)	Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist.		
,				

	Combine figures 7 and 8.Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows: a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at least local significance for history heritage. b) Development proposals should take full account of known surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately considered during development and after consultation with the Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and during development. Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist. Combine figures 7 and 8.Policy ENV 6 Recommend re-drafting a) and b) as follows: a) The sites mapped in figure 7 have been identified as being of at least local significance for history heritage. b) Development proposals should take full account of known surface and sub-surface archaeology and ensure unknown and potentially significant deposits are identified and appropriately considered during development and after consultation with the Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and during development and after consultation with the Northamptonshire Historic Environment Record (HER), prior to and during development. Suggest adding reference to the Planning Archaeologist. Combine figures 7 and 8.		
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

	1	Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:	The proposed re-wording appears to	
		The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9,	be more restrictive than that allowed	
		Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are	by NPPF December 2023 para 209	
		important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix	regarding the balanced judgement	
		of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings	required to make a decision on	
		will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial	heritage assets (including non-	
			designated).	
		harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'	designated).	
		Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no		
		evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.		
		Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which		
		there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	We believe the NDHAs proposed in	
		as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	the NP meet the criteria in the	
		should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.	Historic England Guidance to merit	
			inclusion. The criterion for this non-	
		46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.	statutory level of protection in a NP	
			, ,	
		They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West	needs only to be significance in the	
		Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive	context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including	
		character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original	as buildings associated with the 20th	
		character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	century social history of the two	
		For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from	settlements). This clearly applies to	
		Appendix E and Figure 9.	the water tower and RDC council	
		The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group	houses.	
		value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for	nouses.	
		clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this		
		entry should describe each building individually.		
		Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial		
		could be given non-designated heritage asset status?	Group value is the key issue here,	
		Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:	and the entry was proposed by the	
		, 5	, , , ,	
		The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are	history society and their contribution was valued.	
		important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix	was valueu.	
		of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings		
		will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial		
		harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be	The war memorial will be added as a	
		supported'	NDHAThe proposed re-wording	
		Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no	appears to be more restrictive than	
		evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.	that allowed by NPPF December 2023	
		Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which	para 209 regarding the balanced	
		there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	judgement required to make a	
		as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	decision on heritage assets (including	
		should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.	non-designated).	
		46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic	non designated).	
		mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.		
		They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West		
		Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not		
	West Northants	of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive	We believe the NDHAs proposed in	None None
Local Planning	Council (Planning	character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original	the NP meet the criteria in the	Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	Historic England Guidance to merit	inmdicated.
nationcy	i oncy j	renaracter anough changes to reatares such as abors and windows.	I historic England Guidance to ment	innucated.

For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from inclusion. The criterion for this non-statutory (evid optication) Appendix E and Figure 9. inclusion. The criterion for this non-statutory (evid optication) Value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this inclusion. The criterion for this non-statutory (evid optication) Could be given non-designated heritage asset stud? buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two send RDC council here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated heritage assets. They are Important for their combubuton to the given and-harses of clarity modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although tis regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although tis regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although tis regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although tis regarded locally as a hardmark, it does not necessarily have bent identified or assesset. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than tallowed by IVPP December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced jupdement required to make a decision on hertage assets (including of architer through changes to fasture such as doors and windows. For these reasons that seen immore and windows. For these reasons to itedimeting individualy, this earthy adued as a non-desi	For these reasons it is recommanded that buildings he emitted from	inclusion. The criterion for this new
The entry for 'The Green, Barty (Group Asset) – although group needs only to be significance in the value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for charty, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. has consideration been given as to whether Barty War Memorial instead the 20th could be given non-designated heritage asset stats? heritage asset stats? heritage asset stats? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: heritage assets. They are a important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings for our value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution to the significance in the contribution to the significance in the 20th a popendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution to the significance in the 20th a sould be omitted from Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no wais valued. a sould be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. history society and their contribution to the significance in the 20th a so a landmark, it does not necessarily have hertage value and history society and their contribution to the significance in the 20th a sould be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. history society and their contribution to the significance in the 20th		
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this context of Neighbourhood Area (Importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses. Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated building, and their factures and settings for up value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history socialy and their contribution evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this ar relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character trough changes to fracting as nondesignated heritage assets (including non-designated heritage asset status? For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be onited from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets (including non-designated). The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that courtibutes to the distinctive character trough changes to fracting as follows: entry should describe		· · ·
clarity, and fo avoid ambiguity, this		, 5
entry should describe acch building individually. as buildings associated with the 20th could be given non-designated hertage asset status? as buildings associated with the 20th could be given non-designated hertage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: the water tower and RDC council houses. Appendix E3 are non-designated hertage asset. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Hertage Asset listed here will not be supported' Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued. Barby Water Tower - this ar elatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have hertage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed in the Allowed as alordmark, it decis in the addet and a should be is allowed the	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5
Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial century social history of the two could be given non-designated heritage assets tatus? settlements). This clearly applies to Holicy EW7 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: the water tower and RDC council The structures and buildings istel here (and mapped in Figure 9, houses. Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are input the intervention of the input and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution wastes have been identified on assessed. and the entry was proposed by the Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no history society and their contribution was value and should be omitted from Appendix E. Affigure 9. The ware memorial will be added as a MDHATE proposed re-wording apparing suggests that they were built post-Second World War. a paping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. apparance that contributes to the distinctive a for the are reamy applies using the addet on a should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The vare memorial will be added as a MDrATE proposed re-wording mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. apparance that contributes to the distinctive appendix Line of their original <th></th> <td></td>		
could be given non-designated heritage asset status? settlements). This clearly applies to Policy EWY 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: the water tower and RDC council The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appaping suggest that they were built post-Scoond World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West tharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of theye and appearance that contributes to the distinctive of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for carrity, and to avoid ambiguity, this We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the social decription been given as to whether Barby War Memorial indusion. The criteria in the entry should describe each building individual		5
Policy EW 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: the water tower and RDC council The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix houses. of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording apping suggest that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West thracter through charges to the distinctive character through charges to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through charges to for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe east building individually. We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in		
The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, houses. Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The war memorial will be added as a NDHATE proposed re-wording amping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West para of a type and appearance that contributes to the distintive drive and papearance that contributes to the distintive drive and papearance that contributes to the distintive drive and papearance that contributes to the distintive drive assets, for darity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets (including non-designated). Policy EWY Ascommend de that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for darity, and to avoid ambiguity, this We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial Cold be given non-designated heritage asset status?		, , , , ,
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characterstic mix Group value is the key issue here, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no history society and their contribution evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The war memorial will be added as a They are of a type that is see former council houses. Historic NDHAThe proposed re-wording appearse that over the distinctive of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive japaeras to be more restrictive than allowed by NPF December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not jara 209 regarding the balanced is a digitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a lagitimater neason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a lagitimater neason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets for the NP meet the criterian in the Hax consideration bene side as a tow there failing as solitows: We beliewe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criterian		
Important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectual styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Hertlage Asset listed here will not be supported'Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Appendix E seems to be descriptions evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated).For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, (including non-designated heritage asset strus?For these reasons it is recommended that buildings individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset strus?We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of was set have been identified or assessed.Poice EVT 2 Recommend relating as 50 lows: The structures and buildings indi		houses.
of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings. Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution vas valued. a hor Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supportedf Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution vas valued. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Atthough it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be onitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPP December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a dors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for arenor deriving as as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for erroriting asset. They are clarity as follows: We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic Engle Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non-statutor (veel of protection in a NP meet de of rotection in a NP meet de of how asset) have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of whic		
will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that is seen in many locations throughout West thracter through changes to feature such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated local hereit age asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-farting as follows: The structures and building sited here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet to rot in a NP needs only to be significance in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutor jevel of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the clision. The criterion for this non- statutor jevel of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the the supported''Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos,		
harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported.' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indiced the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets. They are important for their contribution the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their fagure assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their fagure assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their fagure assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their fagures and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to A Non-Designated Heritage Assets Starues suburements)	, , , ,	
supported'and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPP December 2023 papears to be more restrictive than to a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings or architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings or architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings or architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings or architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings or architectural styles in the village, and th		
Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.history society and their contribution was valued.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in amy locations throughout West that allowed by MPFP December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage asset. For these recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated local heritage assets. They are policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The entry for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of arkpendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of arkpendix E asset shave been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyhistory society and their contribution was valued.40Appendix E and Figure 9. Appendix E and Figure 9. Appendix E and Figure 9. Appendix E) are non-designated heritage asset. They are to context of Neighbourhood Area (i		
 evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Atthough it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West that allowed by NPPF December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive dcharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this end there and building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Menorial could be given non-designated heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their reatures and settings of architectural styles in the village, and their reatures and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantia hard. Subjourdance to the water tower and RDC council houses. We believe the war and RDC council houses. Historic endy appelies to the water tower and RDC council houses. Historic endy applies to the water tower and RDC council houses. 		, , , ,
Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building lindividually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and building listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, needs only to be significance in the Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are context of Neighbourhood Area (mortane to local peopie, including a sbuildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two harm, to AND-nodesignated heritage Asset status?We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the econtext of Neighbourhood Area (inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (inclusion the Iter and Neighbourhood Area (inclusion the Iter and Neighbourh		
there' are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including independix E and Figure 9.Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.Net house.The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, thisWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the oriteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in the Phistoric England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected'We believe the vorter and RDC council houses.Note define or architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings supported'Superate Asset listed here will not be supported'Historic England Guidance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to loca		was valued.
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated heritage asset show Policy EVN 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Policy EVN 7 Recommend re-drafting asset) status? Policy EVN 7 Recommend re-drafting asset status? Policy EVN 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated heritage assets, for important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial ham, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the aver and RDC council huston: The structures and building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings assutial the relayed in the 20th context of Neighbourhood Area important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, ther		
should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry for The Green, Barby (Mar Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed in the visition of the assets and settings of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected whereve		
46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. HistoricNDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated hat buildings to be mitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated).For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.Northamgtons for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings to any on-designated heritage asset status?We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings <th></th> <td></td>		
mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.appears to be more restrictive thanThey are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout Westthat allowed by NPPF December 2023Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are notpara 209 regarding the balancedof a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctivepara 209 regarding the balancedcharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their originaldecision on heritage assets (includingcharacter through changes to features such as doors and windows.non-designatedFor these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted fromAppendix E and Figure 9.The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although groupnon-designated heritage assets, forvalue is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, forWe believe the NDHAs proposed inthe aconsideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorialthe NP meet the criteria in thecould be given non-designated heritage asset status?Historic England Guidance to meritpolicy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:context of Neighbourhood Areaimportant for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix(importance to local people, includingof architectural styles in the village, and their features and settingsas building associated with the 20thwill be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantialas building associated with the 20thmorthet'Appendix E seens to be descriptions with photos, there is noevidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.barby Water Tower		
Image: Second		
Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original 	mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.	appears to be more restrictive than
of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyjudgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated).Used memory of a type in the diffied or assessed. Barby water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyjudgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated heritage asset status?We believe the NDHAs proposed in the could be given non-designated heritage assets. They are context of Neighbourhood A	They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West	that allowed by NPPF December 2023
character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Mappendix E are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyHere is negarded locally	Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not	para 209 regarding the balanced
character through changes to features such as doors and windows.non-designated).For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.non-designated).The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallynon-designated locally	of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive	judgement required to make a
For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original	decision on heritage assets (including
Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including a subidings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	non-designated).
The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two huil be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyHere are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from	
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	Appendix E and Figure 9.	
clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, thisWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in theentry should describe each building individually.Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in thePolicy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'(importance to local people, including harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallywill be to make the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit the NP meet the criteria in NP needs only to be settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group	
entry should describe each building individually.the NP meet the criteria in theHas consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?the NP meet the criteria in thePolicy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NPThe structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallythe NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage	assets, for
Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyHistoric England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this	We believe the NDHAs proposed in
could be given non-designated heritage asset status?inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyinclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.		the NP meet the criteria in the
Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallystatutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial	Historic England Guidance to merit
The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyneeds only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	could be given non-designated heritage asset status?	inclusion. The criterion for this non-
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallycontext of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:	statutory level of protection in a NP
important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9,	needs only to be significance in the
of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are	context of Neighbourhood Area
will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallycentury social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix	(importance to local people, including
harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallysettlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.	of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings	as buildings associated with the 20th
supported'the water tower and RDC council houses.Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallythe water tower and RDC council houses.	will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial	century social history of the two
Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyhouses.	harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be	settlements). This clearly applies to
evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	supported'	the water tower and RDC council
Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no	houses.
there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.	
	Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which	
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	
	 as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	

46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic and the entry was proposed by the history social was valued. Imaging suggests that they were built post-Scoord World War. and the entry was proposed by the history social was valued. Imaging suggests that they were built post-Scoord World War. more status to the distinctive of their original character of Barty. In addition, they have lots social so does and windows. The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed BUPPE December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced Jiadoment required to make a decision on hertage assets. for darity, and to avoid ambiguity, this dualing, individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial to avoid ambiguity. This is a legitimate rasso for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets. They are more abuiltings is legited to hera (dariting as follows: We believe the NDHAs proposed in the allowed of process in the value and their restruction was valued. Will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Assets. They are information to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their restruction of was sets have be entited from Appendix E and Figure 9. We believe the NDHAs proposed in the Hastory Barbon Market and Hastory Barbon Marke	I	should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.	Group value is the key issue here,
InterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInterpretainInter			
They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout Westwas valued.Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctivewas valued.character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPF December 2023The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identify, and identify buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for charity, and to avoid ambiguity. This entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets. The structures and buildings lided heric (and mapped in Figure 9. Appendix E) are non-designated heritage asset. They are indusion. The criterion for this non- statuory level of protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset. Itsey are indusion. The criterion for this non- statuory level of protectic where were been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally tas a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Append KE and Figure 9. A 52 Daventry Road. These are former ouncil houses. Historic the areat tower hold hards of the dord the adverse of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. They are not alype and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as			
Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance the distinctive character for Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through characts to features, such as doors and windows. For these reasons. It is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – athough group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as ondesignated hentage assets, for charity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been age status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local berrade essets (in cluding individually. Has consideration been logent as to whether Barby War Menorial could be given non-designated local heratage asset. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and sattings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Hentage Asset listed here (in statures and sattings in the village, and their features and sattings they are been identified or assessed. Group value is the descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Group value is the stating will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harmed reliaped value and descriptions with photos. Therefore, they are not descriptions with photos. Therefore, they are not descriptions with photos. Therefore, they are not descriptions with photos stoucopy to a stoucopy capite, including the advert and frauce in the distinctive descriptions with photos. Therefore, they are not descriptions with photos throughout Weet to descriptions w			, ,
of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have loss some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have loss some of their original character of Through changes to features such as doors and windows. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group that consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets strus? Policy EWN P Recommend - erfarting as follows: The structures and buildings itsed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E arents to ender structure, of which thar, to a Non-Designated local heritage asset. Strus entry should be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated heritage asset. Strus entry should be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated heritage Asset listed here is no supportedWe believe the NDHAS proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inducian. The criteria of their anon- statutory level of protection for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including the solutions throughon Work Stations throughon Work Stations throughon Work Stations throughon Work the ray and appearance to the distribution to the layout Second Work Stations throughon Work Stations throughon Work Stations throughon Work the area many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally the alignated their appearance to the distribution the area appearance to the distribution the stations throughon work. For these reassons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The year of a type and appearance to the distribution the addition, they have lost some of their original ther			was valued.
character of Barby, In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for chriv, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe ach building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Doilly EIN 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and building islisted here (and mapped in Figure 9. Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layour and characteristic mix will be protected wherever possible. The less of, or substantial the max to a Non-Designated Heritage assets. They are inclusion. The criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit the structures and building individually. Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. Inclusion. The criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit the protected wherever possible. The less of, or substantial the protected wherever possible. The less of, or substantial the and the criteria in the evidence of how assets have been identified or assets. Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no needs only to be significance in the contact of Navightance in the context of Navightance in the subliding. The ware of Barby, Ware are former council houses. Historic the avater of Parte 201, They are 10 structure and NBC council houses.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed in the the substantial the 20th as a landing and, fideed the country. Therefore, they are not subliding as notaceing associated whithe 20th a			
character through changes to features such as doors and windows.The war memorial will be added as aFor these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that consideration be any diversity, this entry should describe each building individually.NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that consideration ben given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset staus?NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than the appendix E is non-designated local heritage asset staus?Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E j are non-designated local heritage asset. They are limportant for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supportedWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inducan. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including the sa relatively moder structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does on the context building is be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. They are of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original there are and appearance to the distributive char2, and appearance to the distributive char2, and appearance to the distributive char2, the appearact and programe theritize asset. Historic England Guidance to make a decision on			
For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPE December 2023 The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this nare 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: mort-designated heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected whereyre possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage asset. Status? We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the induction. The criterion for this non- supported./ Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the induction. The criterion for this non- supported./ Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. We believe the NDHAs proposed in the value context of Nuclibuurhood Area (importance to local peeple, including there are many examples nationally, Athough it is regarded locally as a buildings associated with the 20th as a buildings associated with the 20th as buildings associated withe 20th as buildings associated with the 20th as buildings associat			
Appendix E and Figure 9.appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPFD December 2023 yalue is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets strus? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings their architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings they are of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the solutid for structure, of which they are of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be entited from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built produce becisson of their original character through change to fatures such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is resommend that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry of The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for character through changes to fatures such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is renom		character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	The war memorial will be added as a
The entry for "The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.In the advector 		For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from	NDHAThe proposed re-wording
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for chrity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy EWD 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Histor. England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in the Histor. England Guidance in the context of Newer - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second Work? They are of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended the buildings ea nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be addee as a londer frage and buildings the diver end of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons its is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarit		Appendix E and Figure 9.	appears to be more restrictive than
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for chrity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy EWD 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Histor. England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in the Histor. England Guidance in the context of Newer - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second Work? They are of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended the buildings ea nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be addee as a londer frage and buildings the diver end of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons its is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarit		The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group	that allowed by NPPF December 2023
clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, thisjudgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated heritage asset status?Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:non-designated heritage asset status?Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:We believe the NDHAs proposed in the structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the 2004 as buildings associated where well nots. statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the 2004 context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarity have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic thar are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets. The entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for character through changes to			
entry should describe each building individually.decision on heritage assets (including non-designated heritage asset status?Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drating as follows:non-designated).The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the History England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in the History England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criteria in the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. (mortance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th cas landmark, it does not necessarily have hertage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Devertry Road. These are former council houses. Historic happing suggests that they were built post-Second Word War. They are of a type hand pearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avid and building, Horise Hattoria Appendix E a figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avid anbiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration b			
Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed herer (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Identified or assessed. Barby Mater Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. (importance to local people, including as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E are former council houses. Historic mapping suggesis that they were buil post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributs to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character are through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for charty, and to aviod ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has considerati			
could be given non-designated heritage asset status?We believe the NDHAs proposed in the structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the oriteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion in a NP needs only to be significance in the content of this non- supported'Appendix E) are non-designated Heritage asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the content of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second Word War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features and a dors and indows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The early for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for charity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whet			5 (5
Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory local buildings listed here will not be supported'Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of now assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of now assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which the evidence of now assets have been identified or assessed. Context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including the 2004 control of mo Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic they are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the county. Therefore, they are not of a type and apperaance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to factures such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings as onndesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguty, this entry should describe each building individually.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Har could be given non-designated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguty, this entry should describe each building individually.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appendix			non designated).
Image: Structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the the NP meet the criteria in the inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet to Review in the NDH statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local peepig, including as buildings associated with the 20th case and mark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.We have to were and RDC council houses. Historic the water tower and RDC council houses.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character for Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.40 the entry should describe each building individually.Haw condesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.The ware			
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assetts. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built gost-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry doid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommendre-charting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (allows: Policy ENV 7 Recommendre-charting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (allows: The structures and buildings listed here (allows: The structures and buildings listed here (allows: The structures and buildings listed here and paperance hat contribute to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NDHAThe proposed re-wording apperant bo the instructures that allowed		, 5	
important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixWe believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heirtage Asset listed here will not be supported'We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Noighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic the appeing suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshine and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have low some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have low some of their original character of and pigure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated heritage asset status?Weether weether characteristic mixHas consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated local heritage asset stat			
of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settingsthe NP meet the criteria in thewill be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantialHistoric England Guidance to meritharm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not beinclusion. The criterion for this non-supported?Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is noneeds only to be significance in theevidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.context of Neighbourhood AreaBarby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which(importance to local people, including)there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyas buildings associated with the 20thas a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value andsettlements). This clearly applies toshould be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.the water tower and RDC council46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historicthe water tower and RDC councilmapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.houses.They are of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctivecharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their originalcharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their originalcharacter of identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, forclarity, and to avoid ambiguity, thisentry should describe each building individually.Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War MemorialThe war memorial will be added as aNDHAThe proposed re-wordingappendix E and origenated heritage asset strus?Policy EW			
will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported' Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should becribe action building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets tatus?Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to esignificance in the contrast of NPA. The account of a type and a subicity of the account of a type and appearance that contribution to the alyout and characteristic mixHistoric England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this incomposition to the second World War.The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated he		· · ·	
harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be supported'inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including) as buildings associated with the 20th cast and mark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.inclusion. The criterion for this non- statutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including) as buildings associated with the 20th cast building associated work War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>			
supported'statutory level of protection in a NP Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed. Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. 46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The dury of "The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy EWV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and apped in Figure 9, Appendix E). The are non-designated heritage assets, they are pare 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make astatutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of NDATA context of NDATA the ware menorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 parears to be more nestrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 parears to be more heritage assets. They are para 209 regarding the bal			J
Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no evidence of how assets have been identified or assetsed.needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as a landmark, it does not necessarily have hertage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 papears to be more restrictive than the structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structur			
evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.context of Neighbourhood AreaBarby Water Tower - this is a relatively modern structure, of which(importance to local people, includingthere are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locallyas buildings associated with the 20thas a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value andsettlements). This clearly applies toshould be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.the water tower and RDC council46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historicthe water tower and RDC councilmapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.houses.They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout Westhouses.Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are notof a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctivecharacter of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their originalcharacter through changes to features such as doors and windows.For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted fromand the entry was proposed by theAppendix E and Figure 9.history society and their contributos toValue is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, forware memorial will be added as aCould be given non-designated heritage asset status?The ware memorial will be added as aDolicy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:papears to be more restrictive thanThe structures and buildings isted here; and mapped in Figure 9,Appendix E and mapped in Figure 9,Appendix E) are non-designated heritage asset. They arepara 209 regarding		supported'	statutory level of protection in a NP
Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which(importance to local people, including as bindings associated with the 20th as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.(importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th centry social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial Could be given non-designated heritage assets strue?The war memorial will be added as a more structures and buildings is follows: The structures and buildings is follows: The structures and buildings is follows: The structures and buildings is listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated heritage assets. They are para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no	needs only to be significance in the
there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individally.The ware memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPP December 2023 Appendix E) are non-designated heritage assets. They are policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and building listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.	context of Neighbourhood Area
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a nOPHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe varue a a 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which	(importance to local people, including
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial to and a appear appear at 0 make a		there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	as buildings associated with the 20th
should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.settlements). This clearly applies to46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage assets tatus?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than tha allowed by NPPF December 2023 Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe ware required to make a			
46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are papears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 papears to be anore restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 that allowed by NPPF De		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,
mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War. They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually.The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:The war memorial will be alanced judgement required to make a			
They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contributionFor these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixJudgement required to make a			
Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contributionFor these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial will be added as a nDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023			nouses
of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a nDHAThe proposed re-wording apperas to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			
character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original character through changes to features such as doors and windows. For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war age as a door was			
character through changes to features such as doors and windows.Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		<i>//</i>	
For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for `The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixand the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			Crown value is the list issue have
Appendix E and Figure 9. The entry for `The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixhistory society and their contribution was valued.Appendix E and Figure 9. value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage asset. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixhistory society and their contribution was valued.		5 5	, , ,
The entry for `The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixwas valued.Was value			
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			
clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			was valued.
entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status?The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			
Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War MemorialThe war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixThe war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			
could be given non-designated heritage asset status?NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a			
Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows: The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixappears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial	The war memorial will be added as a
The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9, Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixthat allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a		could be given non-designated heritage asset status?	NDHAThe proposed re-wording
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They arepara 209 regarding the balancedimportant for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixjudgement required to make a		Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:	appears to be more restrictive than
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They arepara 209 regarding the balancedimportant for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mixjudgement required to make a		The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9,	that allowed by NPPF December 2023
important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix judgement required to make a			-
ד אין		of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings	decision on heritage assets (including

will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be	non-designated).
supported'	
Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no	
evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.	
Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which	We believe the NDHAs proposed in
there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	the NP meet the criteria in the
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	Historic England Guidance to merit
should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.	inclusion. The criterion for this non-
46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic	statutory level of protection in a NP
mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.	needs only to be significance in the
They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West	context of Neighbourhood Area
Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not	(importance to local people, including
of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive	as buildings associated with the 20th
character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original	century social history of the two
character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	settlements). This clearly applies to
For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from	the water tower and RDC council
Appendix E and Figure 9.	houses.
The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group	
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for	
clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this	
entry should describe each building individually.	
Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial	Group value is the key issue here,
could be given non-designated heritage asset status?	and the entry was proposed by the
Policy ENV 7 Recommend re-drafting as follows:	history society and their contribution
The structures and buildings listed here (and mapped in Figure 9,	was valued.
Appendix E) are non-designated local heritage assets. They are	
important for their contribution to the layout and characteristic mix	
of architectural styles in the village, and their features and settings	
will be protected wherever possible. The loss of, or substantial	The war memorial will be added as a
harm, to a Non-Designated Heritage Asset listed here will not be	NDHAThe proposed re-wording
supported'	appears to be more restrictive than
Appendix E seems to be descriptions with photos, there is no	that allowed by NPPF December 2023
evidence of how assets have been identified or assessed.	para 209 regarding the balanced
Barby Water Tower – this is a relatively modern structure, of which	judgement required to make a
there are many examples nationally. Although it is regarded locally	decision on heritage assets (including
as a landmark, it does not necessarily have heritage value and	non-designated).
should be omitted from Appendix E and Figure 9.	
46-52 Daventry Road. These are former council houses. Historic	
mapping suggests that they were built post-Second World War.	
They are of a type that is seen in many locations throughout West	
Northamptonshire and, indeed the country. Therefore, they are not	We believe the NDHAs proposed in
of a type and appearance that contributes to the distinctive	the NP meet the criteria in the
character of Barby. In addition, they have lost some of their original	Historic England Guidance to merit
character through changes to features such as doors and windows.	inclusion. The criterion for this non-
For these reasons it is recommended that buildings be omitted from	statutory level of protection in a NP
Appendix E and Figure 9.	needs only to be significance in the
The entry for 'The Green, Barby (Group Asset) – although group	context of Neighbourhood Area
value is a legitimate reason for identifying buildings as nondesignated heritage assets, for	(importance to local people, including
clarity, and to avoid ambiguity, this	as buildings associated with the 20th

entry should describe each building individually. Has consideration been given as to whether Barby War Memorial could be given non-designated heritage asset status? century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.

Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.

The war memorial will be added as a NDHAThe proposed re-wording appears to be more restrictive than that allowed by NPPF December 2023 para 209 regarding the balanced judgement required to make a decision on heritage assets (including non-designated).

We believe the NDHAs proposed in the NP meet the criteria in the Historic England Guidance to merit inclusion. The criterion for this nonstatutory level of protection in a NP needs only to be significance in the context of Neighbourhood Area (importance to local people, including as buildings associated with the 20th century social history of the two settlements). This clearly applies to the water tower and RDC council houses.

Group value is the key issue here, and the entry was proposed by the history society and their contribution was valued.

	The war memorial will be added as a NDHA	

		Policy ENV 9 Policy is not positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:	Agreed	
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
		Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
		proposal:		
		a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		
		intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation;		
		b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to		
		Contributes positively to landscape character; and		
		c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not		
		positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
		Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
		proposal:		
		a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		
		intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation;		
		b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to		
		Contributes positively to landscape character; and		
		c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not		
		positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
		Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
		proposal:		
		a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		
		intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation;		
		b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to		
		Contributes positively to landscape character; and		
		c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not		
		positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
		Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
		proposal:		
		a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		
		intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation;		
		b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to		
		Contributes positively to landscape character; and		
		c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not		
		positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
		Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
		proposal:		
		a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		
		intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation;		
		b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to		
		Contributes positively to landscape character; and		
		c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not		
		positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		"Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported		
	West Northants	Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the		
Local Planning	Council (Planning	proposal:		Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual		indicated

	West Northants	intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation; b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to Contributes positively to landscape character; and c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elementsPolicy ENV 9 Policy is not positively drafted, recommend re-drafting as follows: "Broad Unwooded Vale. The following will not be supported Impact on the landscape should be assessed to ensure that the proposal: a) unacceptable visual intrusion Avoids unacceptable visual intrusion that is not capable of satisfactory mitigation; b) adverse effect on landscape elements which contribute to Contributes positively to landscape character; and c) Avoids the introduction of unnatural landscape elements		
Local Planning Authority	Council (Planning Policy)	Policy Env 11 End of policy needs a closing bracket i.e.)	Agreed	Change to be made as indicated

1	1	Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to be missing.	1	1
		a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?		
		a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?	will be removed.	
		a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views		
		shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these	will be removed.	
		routes?		
		a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic	will be removed.	
		environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage		
		assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their	will be removed.	
		alteration, destruction or development within their setting. Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to		
		be missing.	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?	will be removed.	
		a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,		
		gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views	will be removed.	
		shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?		
		a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these	Reference to Fig 16 was an error and	
		routes?	will be removed.	
		a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic		
		environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage		
		assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will		
		apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their		
		alteration, destruction or development within their setting. Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to		
		be missing.		
		a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?		
		a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,		
		gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?		
		a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views		
		shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?		
		a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these		
		routes?		
		a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:		
		e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic		
		environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage		
		assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will		
		apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their		
		alteration, destruction or development within their setting. Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to		
		be missing.		
		a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?		
		a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,		
		gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?		
	Mart Northeast	a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views		
La sal Diservira	West Northants	shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?		Channes to be meet
Local Planning	Council (Planning	a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these		Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	routes?		indicated

a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:	
e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic	
environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage	
assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will	
apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their	
alteration, destruction or development within their setting.Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to	
be missing.	
a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?	
a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,	
gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?	
a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views	
shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?	
a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these	
routes?	
a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:	
e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic	
environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage	
assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will	
apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their	
alteration, destruction or development within their setting Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to	
be missing.	
a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?	
a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,	
gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?	
a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views	
shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?	
a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these	
routes?	
a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:	
e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic	
environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage	
assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will	
apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their	
alteration, destruction or development within their setting.Policy Env 14 Figure 16 seems to	
be missing.	
a) Does the evidence justify the restriction on size and scale?	
a)a) Are water pollution, smell, air quality impairment,	
gaseous/particulate emissions likely from solar and wind energy?	
a)b) Are the identified views the same as the important views	
shown in figure 13 and protected in ENV10?	
a)c) what sort of adverse impacts are likely to be caused on these	
routes?	
a)e) Recommend re-drafting as follows:	
e) adverse effect on statutory designated heritage assets historic	
environment features or sites, or non-designated heritage	
assets or , including ridge and furrow, (policies ENV5 and 6 will	
apply) that would result in harm to their significance through their	
alteration, destruction or development within their setting.	

		CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use	
		Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation.e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy.CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use	
		 Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy. CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use 	
		 Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy. CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use 	
		 Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy. CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use 	
		 Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy. CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use 	
		 Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy. CS1 a)Difficult to understand how this would be achieved or measured, it would depend on the nature and scale of theproposed use 	
Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	Highways would comment on whether traffic generation impact would be acceptable and suggest mitigation. e) Would be conditioned, no need to include in policy.	None

		CS2 Cannot impose the market		
		ing requirement on employment premises		
		unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to		
		non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a		
		12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services		
		and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is		
		an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class)		
		the change of use could take place without needing planning		
		permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning		
		permission is required"		
		a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP		
		allows expansion and new build in specific cases.		
		g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and		
		what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the		
		policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market		
		ing requirement on employment premises		
		unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to		
		non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a		
		12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services		
		and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is		
		an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class)		
		the change of use could take place without needing planning		
		permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning		
		permission is required"		
		a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP		
		allows expansion and new build in specific cases.		
		g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and		
		what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the		
		policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market		
		ing requirement on employment premises		
		unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to		
		non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a		
		12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services		
		and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is		
		an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class)		
		the change of use could take place without needing planning		
		permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning		
		permission is required"		
		a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP		
		allows expansion and new build in specific cases.		
		g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and		
		what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the		
		policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market		
		ing requirement on employment premises		
		unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to	We will add in the phrase 'where	
		non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a	planning permission is required'.	
		12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services	Complementing existing businesses is	
	West Northants	and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is	a planning matter as the design of	
Local Planning	Council (Planning	an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class)	buildings is required to be in keeping	Change to be made as
Authority	Policy)	the change of use could take place without needing planning	with its setting.	indicated
	••		-	

permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. a) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP Policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment usernises unless there is evidence that premises serving SCLP policy CV3 has a 12 month marketing period but his applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment user. SI, The outcant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is nor in E class) the change of use could take place whentry SCLP policy CV3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment users. If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is nor in E class) the change of use could take place whenty meeting barning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase. Where planning permission is required			
 permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. (a) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot impose the market. ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW) has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to clarit text less velicities. and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest Inserting the phanning planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. (b) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment uses). If the extant of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy of being lost to mone-employment generating uses (Daventry CW) Dave a play. We can be used to be noted to consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting be typical to any other former bits and part of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot impose the market in an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without the part of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot into the policy Would be applied. a) The West Northants Joint Cor	permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning		
allows expansion and new build in specific cases. a) How is complementing existing buisnesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market: ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CVM has a 12 nonth marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission as integrated mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement." a) The West Northants Suint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing builtings to final plant and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without integrated "mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required." a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing buisnesses a planning matter and what des "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of bei	permission is required"		
 9) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use within is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where Planning a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. (a) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but its applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (Or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northaris Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. b) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry			
 what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CVM) has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear now this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment uses). The extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could this applies to local retail services a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inscriting the phrase "Where planning permission. Suggest inscriting the phrase "Where planning permission. Suggest inscriting the phrase "Where planning permission and new build in specific cases. g) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning the matter and what does "well linegrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the ploicy would			
policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing hanning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Whene planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" man? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses(Daventry SCLP Ox3) has a 12 month marketing period bucal retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Whene planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing the phrase "Whene planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" man? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a	g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and		
 ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CV3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses.) If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is nov in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does. "well integrated" memory SCLP class is a specific case. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does. "well integrated" memory Europhysics (CV3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment premises in a class to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required" 	what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the		
unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventy SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E Class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) how is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventy SCLP Policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E Class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing Dusinesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventy SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being l	policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market		
 non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCL^P policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy Would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applicat is avoid as a strate of the policy would be applied. CS2 could be applied. CS2 could but bis application and the white mean the strate of the policy would be applied. Une of the remines are in class of the class of the class of the class of the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing the phrase s a planning matter and what does "Where planning permission and existing the phrase s a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. SU month marketing period planning planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complement	ing requirement on employment premises		
12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CVM has a a12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses) puter thouses, not employment uses) puter thouses, not employment uses) permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "			
and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses.) If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complement uses is the action to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses.) If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting there (Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning meter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment permisses unless there is evidence that premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP ing requirement on employment permises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses as lanning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How vis complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP Policy CW3 has a			
permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning" permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises en in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning memission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning meter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied			
 permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phares "Where planning permission. Suggest inserting the phares "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unclear former D the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises in indanger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment uses a in anger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating using the phrase "Unknew this part of the policy of the applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 10 month of the policy changes are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 10 month of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 10 month of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 10 month of the policy changes are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 10 month of the			
 g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP pals a a 			
 what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northarts Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "Well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment guenerating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
 non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class) the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 the change of use could take place without needing planning permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
 permission is required" a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a 			
a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
allows expansion and new build in specific cases. g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
policy would be applied. CS2 Cannot impose the market ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
ing requirement on employment premises unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
unless there is evidence that premises are in danger of being lost to non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
non-employment generating uses (Daventry SCLP policy CW3 has a			
	12 month marketing period but this applies to local retail services		
and public houses, not employment uses). If the extant consent is			
an open B1 use (or any other former B use which is now in E class)			
the change of use could take place without needing planning			
permission. Suggest inserting the phrase "Where planning			
permission is required"	permission is required"		
a) The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP	a)The West Northants Joint Core Strategy and Daventry SCLP		
allows expansion and new build in specific cases.			
g) How is complementing existing businesses a planning matter and			
what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the	what does "well integrated" mean? It is unclear how this part of the		
policy would be applied.	policy would be applied.		

Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. CS 3 The facilities should be set out as one rather than two columns of text for ease of reading and accessibility reasons. It would be helpful if the facilities were shown on a map. cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it	We do not believe this is necessary given the small number of facilities in the Parish.	None
	West Northants	also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?cs4 The policy only refers to conversion of		
Local Planning Authority	Council (Planning Policy)	existing buildings, does it also support new build for rural diversification?	Yes - we will clarify this.	Change to be made as indicated

Local Planning Authority	West Northants Council (Planning Policy)	CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required" CS5 Not all of this will require planning permission, suggest re-drafting to say "Where planning permission is required"	Agreed	Change to be made as indicated
West Northants	West Northants		Agreed	indicated
Council	Council (Education)			
West Northants Council	WNC (Highways)			
Northants Police				
WNC	West Northants Council (Public Health)			
Adjoining Local Planning Authority	Rugby Borough Council			
Adjoining Parish Councils	Kilsby Parish Council	Kilsby Parish is adjacent to the East and North East borders of Barby and Onley Parish , as shown on the map on page 15. By some oversight however it does not appear to be mentioned in the Parish description on pages 9 & 10. It too is in West Northamptonshire. As immediate neighbours, with only a mile of farmland separating Kilsby village from Barby, we strongly support the principal elements of the reviewed Plan, especially the emphasis on sustainability and preserving and enhancing the rural environment. We agree with the principle of only supporting development within the village confines (Policy H2) unless truly appropriate for the open countryside. We consider it essential that the green space between Kilsby and Barby is protected and maintained, to preserve both the rural environment (including an important wildlife corridor) and the separate and distinct identities of the two communities	Agreed - we will refer to Kilsby Parish as proposed.	Change to be made as indicated.
Adjoining Parish Councils	Ashby St. Ledgers Parish Meeting			
Adjoining Parish Councils	Braunston Parish Council			
Adjoining Parish Councils	Grandborough Parish Council			

None
-

1		I
	Strategic	
	Environmental Assessment is required, Natural England must be consulted at the scoping	
	and environmental	
	report stages.	
	For any further consultations on your plan, please contact:	
	consultations@naturalengland.org.ukNatural England is a non-departmental public body.	
	Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural	
	environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future	
	generations, thereby	
	contributing to sustainable development.	
	Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted	
	on draft	
	neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums	
	where they	
	consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made.	
	Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.	
	However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities	
	that should be	
	considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information.	
	Natural England does not hold information on the location of significant populations of	
	protected species, so	
	is unable to advise whether this plan is likely to affect protected species to such an extent	
	as to require a	
	Strategic Environmental Assessment. Further information on protected species and	
	development is included	
	in Natural England's Standing Advice on protected species .	
	Furthermore, Natural England does not routinely maintain locally specific data on all	
	environmental assets.	
	The plan may have environmental impacts on priority species and/or habitats, local wildlife	
	sites, soils and	
	best and most versatile agricultural land, or on local landscape character that may be	
	sufficient to warrant a	
	Strategic Environmental Assessment. Information on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran	
	trees is set out	
	in Natural England/Forestry Commission standing advice.	
	We therefore recommend that advice is sought from your ecological, landscape and soils	
	advisers, local	
	record centre, recording society or wildlife body on the local soils, best and most versatile	
	agricultural land,	
	landscape, geodiversity and biodiversity receptors that may be affected by the plan before	
	determining	
	whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment is necessary.	
	Natural England reserves the right to provide further advice on the environmental	
	assessment of the plan.	
	This includes any third party appeal against any screening decision you may make. If an	
	Strategic	
	Environmental Assessment is required, Natural England must be consulted at the scoping	
	and environmental	
	report stages.	
	For any further consultations on your plan, please contact:	

consultations@naturalengland.org.ukNatural England is a non-departmental public body.	
Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural	
environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future	
generations, thereby	
contributing to sustainable development.	
Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted	
on draft prighburhead development plans by the Darich/Terup Councils or Neighburhead Forums	
neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where they	
consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made.	
Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.	
However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities	
that should be	
considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information.	
Natural England does not hold information on the location of significant populations of	
protected species, so	
is unable to advise whether this plan is likely to affect protected species to such an extent	
as to require a	
Strategic Environmental Assessment. Further information on protected species and	
development is included	
in Natural England's Standing Advice on protected species	
Furthermore, Natural England does not routinely maintain locally specific data on all	
environmental assets.	
The plan may have environmental impacts on priority species and/or habitats, local wildlife	
sites, soils and	
best and most versatile agricultural land, or on local landscape character that may be	
sufficient to warrant a	
Strategic Environmental Assessment. Information on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran	
trees is set out	
in Natural England/Forestry Commission standing advice.	
We therefore recommend that advice is sought from your ecological, landscape and soils	
advisers, local	
record centre, recording society or wildlife body on the local soils, best and most versatile	
agricultural land,	
landscape, geodiversity and biodiversity receptors that may be affected by the plan before	
determining	
whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment is necessary. Natural England reserves the right to provide further advice on the environmental	
assessment of the plan.	
This includes any third party appeal against any screening decision you may make. If an	
Strategic	
Environmental Assessment is required, Natural England must be consulted at the scoping	
and environmental	
report stages.	
For any further consultations on your plan, please contact:	
consultations@naturalengland.org.ukNatural England is a non-departmental public body.	
Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural	
environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future	
generations, thereby	
contributing to sustainable development.	

Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted	1
on draft neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums	
where they	
consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made.	
Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.	
However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities	
that should be	
considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information.	
Natural England does not hold information on the location of significant populations of	
protected species, so	
is unable to advise whether this plan is likely to affect protected species to such an extent	
as to require a	
Strategic Environmental Assessment. Further information on protected species and	
development is included in Natural England's Standing Advice on protected species .	
Furthermore, Natural England does not routinely maintain locally specific data on all	
environmental assets.	
The plan may have environmental impacts on priority species and/or habitats, local wildlife	
sites, soils and	
best and most versatile agricultural land, or on local landscape character that may be	
sufficient to warrant a	
Strategic Environmental Assessment. Information on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran	
trees is set out	
in Natural England/Forestry Commission standing advice.	
We therefore recommend that advice is sought from your ecological, landscape and soils	
advisers, local	
record centre, recording society or wildlife body on the local soils, best and most versatile agricultural land,	
landscape, geodiversity and biodiversity receptors that may be affected by the plan before	
determining	
whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment is necessary.	
Natural England reserves the right to provide further advice on the environmental	
assessment of the plan.	
This includes any third party appeal against any screening decision you may make. If an	
Strategic	
Environmental Assessment is required, Natural England must be consulted at the scoping	
and environmental	
report stages.	
For any further consultations on your plan, please contact: consultations@naturalengland.org.ukNatural England is a non-departmental public body.	
Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural	
environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future	
generations, thereby	
contributing to sustainable development.	
Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted	
on draft	
neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums	
where they	
consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made.	<u> </u>

	Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan. However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities that should be considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan and to the following information. Natural England does not hold information on the location of significant populations of	
	protected species, so is unable to advise whether this plan is likely to affect protected species to such an extent as to require a Strategic Environmental Assessment. Further information on protected species and development is included in Natural England's Standing Advice on protected species . Furthermore, Natural England does not routinely maintain locally specific data on all environmental assets.	
	The plan may have environmental impacts on priority species and/or habitats, local wildlife sites, soils and best and most versatile agricultural land, or on local landscape character that may be sufficient to warrant a Strategic Environmental Assessment. Information on ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees is set out in Natural England/Forestry Commission standing advice.	
	We therefore recommend that advice is sought from your ecological, landscape and soils advisers, local record centre, recording society or wildlife body on the local soils, best and most versatile agricultural land, landscape, geodiversity and biodiversity receptors that may be affected by the plan before determining whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment is necessary.	
	Natural England reserves the right to provide further advice on the environmental assessment of the plan. This includes any third party appeal against any screening decision you may make. If an Strategic Environmental Assessment is required, Natural England must be consulted at the scoping and environmental report stages. For any further consultations on your plan, please contact: consultations@naturalengland.org.uk	
Marine Manageme Organisation		

Environment Ager	Flood risk. The flood zone 3 shown in green in Figure 14.3 is associated with non-main rivers and may be based on 2004 modelling which will soon be updated late 2024/ 2025 with the new National Model. You are advised to ensure this Figure is updated to reflect this, if necessary, as the Neighbourhood Plan progresses. The Neighbourhood Plan should steer all development outside of flood zones 3 and 2 as lots of flood zone 1 land is available. We consider Policy ENV 12 needs to be more forceful on this point. Flood risk. The flood zone 3 shown in green in Figure 14.3 is associated with non-main rivers and may be based on 2004 modelling which will soon be updated late 2024/ 2025 with the new National Model. You are advised to ensure this Figure is updated to reflect this, if necessary, as the Neighbourhood Plan progresses. The Neighbourhood Plan should steer all development outside of flood zones 3 and 2 as lots of flood zone 1 land is available. We consider Policy ENV 12 needs to be more forceful on this point. Flood risk. The flood zone 3 shown in green in Figure 14.3 is associated with non-main rivers and may be based on 2004 modelling which will soon be updated late 2024/ 2025 with the new National Model. You are advised to ensure this Figure is updated to reflect this, if necessary, as the Neighbourhood Plan progresses. The Neighbourhood Plan should steer all development outside of flood zones 3 and 2 as lots of flood zone 1 land is available. We consider Policy ENV 12 needs to be more forceful on this point. Flood risk. The flood zone 3 shown in green in Figure 14.3 is associated with non-main rivers and may be based on 2004 modelling which will soon be updated late 2024/ 2025 with the new National Model. You are advised to ensure this Figure is updated to reflect this, if necessary, as the Neighbourhood Plan progresses. The Neighbourhood Plan should steer all development outside of flood zones 3 and 2 as lots of flood zone 1 land is available. We consider Policy ENV 12 needs to be more forceful on this point	Agreed. Policy Env 12 will be updated to reference the need to direct development away from floodzones 2 and 3 in line with the sequential test.	Change to be made as indicated
	Foul drainage infrastructure We note the Plan does make comment regarding proposed	and 5 in line with the sequential test.	muicated
	growth in the neighbourhood area. It needs to be ensured that there will be adequate foul drainage infrastructure to cope with this. With this in mind, we would recommend that you		
	consult the sewage and wastewater undertaker (Severn Trent Water Ltd) on the Plan and consider their Level 3 Drainage and Waste Water Management Plans (DWMPs) for Rugby		
	Newbold and Kilsby Sewage Treatment Works to ensure that available sewage network		
	capacity is available and taken into account for any proposed new building development.	Noted. This will be undertaken as	
Environment Ager	These can be found on their website: Document library Drainage and wastewater management plan Our plans About us Severn Trent Plc.	necessary on any planning application.	None

Environment Agency	Water resources and water efficiency We note there is a brief mention of water efficiency on page 73 of AECOM Design Guidance and Notes which accompany the Plan and mention of water resources on pages 72, 74 and 76 of the same document when referring to measures relating to rain and grey water. However, we cannot see anything in the Neighbourhood Plan itself relating to water resources and efficiency of water use. We would suggest something is included encouraging the use of water efficient fittings in new development. We also suggest you look at water availability, River Basin Management Plans and Water Resources Management Plans where the Water Companies set out how they plan to achieve a secure supply of water. You should then look if there is a water stress designation for the area, climate change considerations in terms of demand on water and adapting buildings to use water efficiently, the preservation of canals in the area (Grand Union Canal and Oxford Canal - contact Canal River Trust) and Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Methodology (BREEAM) standards to specify and measure sustainability performance of new developments. If water efficiency measures are promoted by the Plan, this will help reduce the amount of foul drainage from developments within the Neighbourhood Plan area and lessen any pressure on Sewage Treatment Works.	Noted. The references within the Design Guide are deemed to be sufficient.	None
Environment Agency		Summent.	NULLE

	Groundwater and contaminated land National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph		
	180 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local		
	environment by preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or		
	being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of		
	water pollution. Government policy also states that planning policies and decisions should		
	also ensure that adequate site investigation information, prepared by a competent person,		
	is presented (NPPF, Paragraph 189). With this in mind we note that there is a reference to		
	contamination on page 74 of the		
	AECOM Design Guidance and Codes and it is also referred to as a topic to be		
	considered in the appendix A Individual Site Assessments.		
	However, as we advised when consulted by West Northamptonshire Council on the		
	February 2024 version of the Neighbourhood Plan, the area it covers lies over a small		
	area of geology classified as Secondary A and Secondary undifferentiated aquifers. The		
	secondary A aquifer can support local abstractions and baseflow to streams and rivers		
	whereas the secondary undifferentiated have a minor value due to the variable		
	characteristics of the rock type. The area within the Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire		
	water management area is characterised by geology sensitive for controlled waters. The		
	use (or potential use) of groundwater in the area makes parts of the area vulnerable to		
	pollution from certain types of development. Best practice to ensure groundwater is		
	protected from pollution and as a resource is contained within guidance document 'The		
	Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection' available at Groundwater		
	protection position statements - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). This publication sets out the		
	Environment Agency's position for a wide range of activities and developments,		
	including:		
	Waste management		
	 Discharge of liquid effluents 		
	 Land contamination 		
	 Ground source heat pumps 		
	Cemetery developments		
	Drainage		
	We recommend wording should be included in the Plan giving this background		
	informationGroundwater and contaminated land National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)		
	Paragraph 180 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the		
	natural and local environment by preventing both new and existing development from		
	contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by		
	unacceptable levels of water pollution. Government policy also states that planning policies		
	and decisions should also ensure that adequate site investigation information, prepared by		
	a competent person, is presented (NPPF, Paragraph 189). With this in mind we note that		
	there is a reference to contamination on page 74 of the		
	AECOM Design Guidance and Codes and it is also referred to as a topic to be		
	considered in the appendix A Individual Site Assessments.		
	However, as we advised when consulted by West Northamptonshire Council on the		
	February 2024 version of the Neighbourhood Plan, the area it covers lies over a small		
	area of geology classified as Secondary A and Secondary undifferentiated aguifers. The		
	secondary A aquifer can support local abstractions and baseflow to streams and rivers		
	whereas the secondary undifferentiated have a minor value due to the variable		
	characteristics of the rock type. The area within the Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire		
	water management area is characterised by geology sensitive for controlled waters. The		
	use (or potential use) of groundwater in the area makes parts of the area vulnerable to	We consider the references in the	
Environment Agency	pollution from certain types of development. Best practice to ensure groundwater is	design guide to be sufficient.	None

protected from pollution and as a resource is contained within guidance document 'The	
Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection' available at Groundwater	
protection position statements - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). This publication sets out the	
Environment Agency's position for a wide range of activities and developments,	
including:	
Waste management	
Discharge of liquid effluents	
Land contamination	
Ground source heat pumps	
Cemetery developments	
Drainage	
We recommend wording should be included in the Plan giving this background	
informationGroundwater and contaminated land National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	
Paragraph 180 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the	
natural and local environment by preventing both new and existing development from	
contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by	
unacceptable levels of water pollution. Government policy also states that planning policies	
and decisions should also ensure that adequate site investigation information, prepared by	
a competent person, is presented (NPPF, Paragraph 189). With this in mind we note that	
there is a reference to contamination on page 74 of the	
AECOM Design Guidance and Codes and it is also referred to as a topic to be	
considered in the appendix A Individual Site Assessments.	
However, as we advised when consulted by West Northamptonshire Council on the	
February 2024 version of the Neighbourhood Plan, the area it covers lies over a small	
area of geology classified as Secondary A and Secondary undifferentiated aquifers. The	
secondary A aquifer can support local abstractions and baseflow to streams and rivers	
whereas the secondary undifferentiated have a minor value due to the variable	
characteristics of the rock type. The area within the Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire	
water management area is characterised by geology sensitive for controlled waters. The	
use (or potential use) of groundwater in the area makes parts of the area vulnerable to	
pollution from certain types of development. Best practice to ensure groundwater is	
protected from pollution and as a resource is contained within guidance document 'The	
Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection' available at Groundwater	
protection position statements - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). This publication sets out the	
Environment Agency's position for a wide range of activities and developments,	
including:	
Waste management	
Discharge of liquid effluents	
Land contamination	
Ground source heat pumps	
Cemetery developments	
Drainage	
We recommend wording should be included in the Plan giving this background	
informationGroundwater and contaminated land National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	
Paragraph 180 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the	
natural and local environment by preventing both new and existing development from	
contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by	
unacceptable levels of water pollution. Government policy also states that planning policies	
and decisions should also ensure that adequate site investigation information, prepared by	
a competent person, is presented (NPPF, Paragraph 189). With this in mind we note that	

there is a reference to contamination on page 74 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Codes and it is also referred to as a topic to be considered in the appendix A Individual Site Assessments. However, as we advised when consulted by West Northamptonshire Council on the February 2024 version of the Neighbourhood Plan, the area it covers lies over a small area of geology classified as Secondary A and Secondary undifferentiated aquifers. The secondary A aquifer can support local abstractions and baseflow to streams and rivers whereas the secondary undifferentiated have a minor value due to the variable characteristics of the rock type. The area within the Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire water management area is characterised by geology sensitive for controlled waters. The use (or potential use) of groundwater in the area makes parts of the area vulnerable to pollution from certain types of development. Best practice to ensure groundwater is protected from pollution and as a resource is contained within guidance document 'The Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection' available at Groundwater protection position statements - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). This publication sets out the
Environment Agency's position for a wide range of activities and developments, including: • Waste management • Discharge of liquid effluents • Land contamination • Ground source heat pumps • Cemetery developments
 Drainage We recommend wording should be included in the Plan giving this background informationGroundwater and contaminated land National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 180 states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of water pollution. Government policy also states that planning policies and decisions should also ensure that adequate site investigation information, prepared by a competent person, is presented (NPPF, Paragraph 189).With this in mind we note that there is a reference to contamination on page 74 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Codes and it is also referred to as a topic to be considered in the appendix A Individual Site Assessments. However, as we advised when consulted by West Northamptonshire Council on the February 2024 version of the Neighbourhood Plan, the area it covers lies over a small area of geology classified as Secondary A and Secondary undifferentiated aquifers. The secondary A aquifer can support local abstractions and baseflow to streams and rivers whereas the secondary undifferentiated have a minor value due to the variable characteristics of the rock type. The area within the Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire
 water management area is characterised by geology sensitive for controlled waters. The use (or potential use) of groundwater in the area makes parts of the area vulnerable to pollution from certain types of development. Best practice to ensure groundwater is protected from pollution and as a resource is contained within guidance document 'The Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection' available at Groundwater protection position statements - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk). This publication sets out the Environment Agency's position for a wide range of activities and developments, including: Waste management Discharge of liquid effluents

	 Land contamination Ground source heat pumps Cemetery developments Drainage We recommend wording should be included in the Plan giving this background information 	

Environ	ment Agency	We also wish to give the following general advice for interested parties. Land affected by contamination may pose risk to human health, groundwater, surface waters and the wider environment. We recommend that developers should: • Follow the risk management framework provided in Land Contamination: Risk Management, when dealing with land affected by contamination = Nefer to our Guiding principles for land contamination for the type of information that we require in order to assess risks to controlled waters from the site - the local authority can advise on risk to other receptors, such as human health • Consider using the National Quality Mark Scheme for Land Contamination Management which involves the use of competent persons to ensure that land contamination risks are appropriately managed • Refer to the contaminated land pages on gov.uk for more information In addition, within the Neighbourhood Plan area, our records show there are eight historic landfills, all receiving inert waste. It is considered to be worth referring to these in the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan so any risk posed can be appropriately managed. The sites and their grid references are as follows: Landfill Location Barby Hill SP453700 269400 Onley Fields Farm SP452000 269700 Onley Prison SP451800 269800 Onley Read SP453600 271000 Barby Woad Bridge SP452600 271000 Barby Wharf SP45300 272000 Cleves Farm SP454600 268700 We also wish to give the following general advice for interested parties. Land affected by contamination may pose risk to human health, groundwater, surface waters and the wider environment. We recommend that developers should: • Follow the risk management framework provided in Land Contamination: Risk Management, when dealing with land affected by contamination • Refer to our Guiding principles for land contamination for the type of information that we require in order to assess risks to controlled waters from the site - the local authority can advice or risk to other receptors, such as human health • Consider using the Na	Noted.	None
---------	-------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	------

information In addition, within the Neighbourhood Plan area, our records show there are eight historic landfills, all receiving inert waste. It is considered to be worth referring to these in the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan so any risk posed can be appropriately managed. The sites and their grid references are as follows: Landfill Location Barby Hill SP453700 269400 Onley Fields Farm SP452000 269700 Onley Prison SP451800 269800 Onlev Road SP453600 270600 Barby Wood Bridge SP452600 271000 Barby (Adjacent to M45) SP454200 271200 Barby Wharf SP453900 272000 Cleves Farm SP454600 268700 We also wish to give the following general advice for interested parties. Land affected by contamination may pose risk to human health, groundwater, surface waters and the wider environment. We recommend that developers should: • Follow the risk management framework provided in Land Contamination: Risk Management, when dealing with land affected by contamination • Refer to our Guiding principles for land contamination for the type of information that we require in order to assess risks to controlled waters from the site - the local authority can advise on risk to other receptors, such as human health • Consider using the National Quality Mark Scheme for Land Contamination Management which involves the use of competent persons to ensure that land contamination risks are appropriately managed • Refer to the contaminated land pages on gov.uk for more information In addition, within the Neighbourhood Plan area, our records show there are eight historic landfills, all receiving inert waste. It is considered to be worth referring to these in the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan so any risk posed can be appropriately managed. The sites and their grid references are as follows: Landfill Location Barby Hill SP453700 269400 Onley Fields Farm SP452000 269700 Onley Prison SP451800 269800 Onlev Road SP453600 270600 Barby Wood Bridge SP452600 271000 Barby (Adjacent to M45) SP454200 271200 Barby Wharf SP453900 272000 Cleves Farm SP454600 268700 We also wish to give the following general advice for interested parties. Land affected by contamination may pose risk to human health, groundwater, surface waters and the wider environment. We recommend that developers should: • Follow the risk management framework provided in Land Contamination: Risk Management, when dealing with land affected by contamination • Refer to our Guiding principles for land contamination for the type of information that we require in order to assess risks to controlled waters from the site - the local authority can advise on risk to other receptors, such as human health • Consider using the National Quality Mark Scheme for Land Contamination Management which involves the use of competent persons to ensure that land contamination risks are appropriately managed • Refer to the contaminated land pages on gov.uk for more information In addition, within the Neighbourhood Plan area, our records show there are eight historic landfills, all receiving inert waste. It is considered to be worth referring to these in the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan so any risk posed can be appropriately managed. The sites and their grid references are as follows: Landfill Location Barby Hill SP453700 269400 Onley Fields Farm SP452000 269700 Onley Prison SP451800 269800 Onley Road SP453600 270600 Barby Wood Bridge SP452600 271000 Barby (Adjacent to M45) SP454200 271200 Barby Wharf SP453900 272000 Cleves Farm SP454600 268700

1 1	Cleves Farm SP454600 268700	1	l
	5. Waste Materials		l
	Further to comments we have previously made, we note the topic of waste is now		l
	mentioned on pages 54,72,77,80 and 83 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Notes.		l l
	This is welcomed.		l l
	However, still we wish to offer the following comments, which we made at the last stage,		l l
	as general advice about other matters relating to the protection of the environment		l l
	which have to be complied with outside the requirements of Planning Legislation. We		l l
	consider this will be useful for people living and wishing to build in the Parish. On		l l
	reflection, we are not insisting these comments are included in the Plan but they will be		I
	available for people to see when our consultation response is published.		l l
	Waste permitting		I
	Developers are advised to find out more information about the permit application process online and to send a pre-application enquiry form via the gov.uk website if		l l
	needed: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permit-		l l
	preapplication-advice-form		
	In circumstances where an activity/operation meets certain criteria, an exemption from		l
	permitting may apply. More information on exempt activities can be found here:		
	Register, renew or change waste exemptions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)		
	Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers		l
	Regulations		l
	The Environmental Protection (Duty of Care) Regulations 1991 for dealing with waste		l
	materials are applicable to any off-site movements of wastes.		l l
	The code of practice applies to you if you produce, carry, keep, dispose of, treat, import		l
	or have control of waste in England or Wales.		l
	The law requires anyone dealing with waste to keep it safe and make sure it is dealt		l l
	with responsibly and only given to businesses authorised to take it. The code of practice can be found here: Waste duty of care: code of practice (accessible version) - GOV.UK		l
	(www.gov.uk)		l l
	If you need to register as a carrier of waste, please follow the instructions here: Register		l l
	or renew as a waste carrier, broker or dealer - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)		l
	Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers		I
	Regulations Characterisation and Classification of Waste		l l
	In order to meet the applicant's objectives for the waste hierarchy and obligations under		I
	the duty of care, it is important that waste is properly classified. Some waste (e.g. wood		l l
	and wood-based products) may be either a hazardous or non-hazardous waste		I
	dependent upon whether or not they have had preservative treatments.		I
	Proper classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the correct		l l
	onward handling and treatment to be applied. In the case of treated wood, it may		l
	require high temperature incineration in a directive compliant facility. Cont/d 5		
	Waste Hierarchy		l
	The developer must apply the waste hierarchy as a priority order of prevention, re-use,		l
	recycling before considering other recovery or disposal options. Government guidance		
	on the waste hierarchy in England can be found here:		l
	Waste hierarchy guidance (publishing.service.gov.uk)		l
	Management and Reporting Systems		
	Where a development involves any significant construction or related activities, we		l
	would recommend using a management and reporting system to minimise and track the		
Environment Agency	fate of construction wastes, such as that set out in PAS402: 2013, or an appropriate	Noted	None

	equivalent assurance methodology. This should ensure that any waste contractors
	employed are suitably responsible in ensuring waste only goes to legitimate
	destinations.
	Further links which may be of use:
	Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)
	Use of waste on-site
]	If materials that are potentially waste are to be used on-site, developers will need to
	ensure they can comply with the exclusion from the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)
	(article 2(1) (c)) for the use of, 'uncontaminated soil and other naturally occurring
	material excavated in the course of construction activities, etc' in order for the
	material not to be considered as waste. Meeting these criteria will mean waste
	permitting requirements do not apply.
	Where the applicant cannot meet the criteria, they will be required to obtain the
	appropriate waste permit or exemption from the Environment Agency.
	A deposit of waste to land will either be a disposal or a recovery activity. The legal test
	for recovery is set out in Article 3(15) of WFD as:
	 any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by
	replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a
	particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in
	the wider economy.
	• We have produced guidance on the recovery test which can be viewed at Waste
	recovery plans and deposit for recovery permits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)
	You can find more information on the Waste Framework Directive here:
	https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permitting-guidance-thewaste-
	framework-directive
	More information on the use of waste in exempt activities can be found here:
	https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/waste-exemptions-using-waste
	Non-waste activities are not regulated by us (i.e. activities carried out under the CL:ARE Code of Practice), however you will need to decide if materials meet End of Waste or
	By-products criteria (as defined by the Waste Framework Directive).
	6. Renewable energy
	We note there is a section in the Neighbourhood Plan, including Policy ENV14, relating
	to renewable energy generation and it is also discussed in the AECOM Design
	Guidance and Codes. Whilst this is welcomed, ground source heat pumps are not
	End 6
	mentioned, apart from a brief mention on page 73 of the AECOM Design Guidance and
	Codes.
	We note page 55 of the Plan says that the community is keen to explore opportunities
	for renewable technology. Related to this, please see below for some useful information
	which you may wish to refer to in the Neighbourhood Plan:
	Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) systems can be used for heating or cooling
	and are, in principle, energy and CO2 efficient. However, unless they are
	managed carefully there is the potential that the ground and groundwater can
	eventually warm or cool to a point where the system cannot continue to operate
	efficiently, or at all. Adjacent systems may also interfere with each other. The
	system operator should also consider potential for loss or damage to third
	parties. We expect developers to undertake appropriate prior investigations for
	these systems. This should include environmental risk assessment and method
	statements for the construction and operation of the systems. These may be
	provided as part of the planning process.

Please also note that a GSHP system may require an abstraction licence and a	
discharge consent from the Environment Agency (EA). There is no guarantee	
that these could be granted.	
More information on the permits required from the EA for both ground source and	
surface water source systems is available here: Cleves Farm SP454600 268700 5. Waste Materials	
Further to comments we have previously made, we note the topic of waste is now	
mentioned on pages 54,72,77,80 and 83 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Notes.	
This is welcomed.	
However, still we wish to offer the following comments, which we made at the last stage,	
as general advice about other matters relating to the protection of the environment	
which have to be complied with outside the requirements of Planning Legislation. We	
consider this will be useful for people living and wishing to build in the Parish. On	
reflection, we are not insisting these comments are included in the Plan but they will be	
available for people to see when our consultation response is published.	
Waste permitting	
Developers are advised to find out more information about the permit application	
process online and to send a pre-application enquiry form via the gov.uk website if needed: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permit-	
preapplication-advice-form	
In circumstances where an activity/operation meets certain criteria, an exemption from	
permitting may apply. More information on exempt activities can be found here:	
Register, renew or change waste exemptions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations	
The Environmental Protection (Duty of Care) Regulations 1991 for dealing with waste	
materials are applicable to any off-site movements of wastes.	
The code of practice applies to you if you produce, carry, keep, dispose of, treat, import	
or have control of waste in England or Wales.	
The law requires anyone dealing with waste to keep it safe and make sure it is dealt	
with responsibly and only given to businesses authorised to take it. The code of practice can be found here: Waste duty of care: code of practice (accessible version) - GOV.UK	
(www.gov.uk)	
If you need to register as a carrier of waste, please follow the instructions here: Register	
or renew as a waste carrier, broker or dealer - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations Characterisation and Classification of Waste	
In order to meet the applicant's objectives for the waste hierarchy and obligations under	
the duty of care, it is important that waste is properly classified. Some waste (e.g. wood	
and wood-based products) may be either a hazardous or non-hazardous waste	
dependent upon whether or not they have had preservative treatments.	
Proper classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the correct	
onward handling and treatment to be applied. In the case of treated wood, it may	
require high temperature incineration in a directive compliant facility. Cont/d. 5	
Waste Hierarchy	
The developer must apply the waste hierarchy as a priority order of prevention, re-use,	
recycling before considering other recovery or disposal options. Government guidance	
on the waste hierarchy in England can be found here:	
Waste hierarchy guidance (publishing.service.gov.uk)	

Management and Reporting Systems	
Where a development involves any significant construction or related activities, we	
would recommend using a management and reporting system to minimise and track the	
fate of construction wastes, such as that set out in PAS402: 2013, or an appropriate	
equivalent assurance methodology. This should ensure that any waste contractors	
employed are suitably responsible in ensuring waste only goes to legitimate	
destinations.	
Further links which may be of use:	
Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Use of waste on-site	
If materials that are potentially waste are to be used on-site, developers will need to	
ensure they can comply with the exclusion from the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)	
(article 2(1) (c)) for the use of, 'uncontaminated soil and other naturally occurring	
material excavated in the course of construction activities, etc' in order for the	
material not to be considered as waste. Meeting these criteria will mean waste	
permitting requirements do not apply.	
Where the applicant cannot meet the criteria, they will be required to obtain the	
appropriate waste permit or exemption from the Environment Agency.	
A deposit of waste to land will either be a disposal or a recovery activity. The legal test	
for recovery is set out in Article 3(15) of WFD as:	
any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by	
replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a	
particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in	
the wider economy.	
We have produced guidance on the recovery test which can be viewed at Waste	
recovery plans and deposit for recovery permits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
You can find more information on the Waste Framework Directive here:	
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permitting-guidance-thewaste-	
framework-directive	
More information on the use of waste in exempt activities can be found here:	
https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/waste-exemptions-using-waste	
Non-waste activities are not regulated by us (i.e. activities carried out under the CL:ARE	
Code of Practice), however you will need to decide if materials meet End of Waste or	
By-products criteria (as defined by the Waste Framework Directive).	
6. Renewable energy We note there is a section in the Neighbourhood Plan, including Policy ENV14, relating	
We note there is a section in the Neighbourhood Plan, including Policy ENV14, relating to renewable energy generation and it is also discussed in the AECOM Design	
Guidance and Codes. Whilst this is welcomed, ground source heat pumps are not	
End 6	
mentioned, apart from a brief mention on page 73 of the AECOM Design Guidance and	
Codes.	
We note page 55 of the Plan says that the community is keen to explore opportunities	
for renewable technology. Related to this, please see below for some useful information	
which you may wish to refer to in the Neighbourhood Plan:	
Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) systems can be used for heating or cooling	
and are, in principle, energy and CO2 efficient. However, unless they are	
managed carefully there is the potential that the ground and groundwater can	
eventually warm or cool to a point where the system cannot continue to operate	
efficiently, or at all. Adjacent systems may also interfere with each other. The	
system operator should also consider potential for loss or damage to third	

parties. We expect developers to undertake appropriate prior investigations for	
these systems. This should include environmental risk assessment and method	
statements for the construction and operation of the systems. These may be	
provided as part of the planning process.	
Please also note that a GSHP system may require an abstraction licence and a	
discharge consent from the Environment Agency (EA). There is no guarantee	
that these could be granted.	
 More information on the permits required from the EA for both ground source and 	
surface water source systems is available here: Cleves Farm SP454600 268700	
5. Waste Materials	
Further to comments we have previously made, we note the topic of waste is now	
mentioned on pages 54,72,77,80 and 83 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Notes.	
This is welcomed.	
However, still we wish to offer the following comments, which we made at the last stage,	
as general advice about other matters relating to the protection of the environment	
which have to be complied with outside the requirements of Planning Legislation. We	
consider this will be useful for people living and wishing to build in the Parish. On	
reflection, we are not insisting these comments are included in the Plan but they will be	
available for people to see when our consultation response is published.	
Waste permitting	
Developers are advised to find out more information about the permit application	
process online and to send a pre-application enquiry form via the gov.uk website if	
needed: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permit-	
preapplication-advice-form In circumstances where an activity/operation meets certain criteria, an exemption from	
permitting may apply. More information on exempt activities can be found here:	
Register, renew or change waste exemptions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations	
The Environmental Protection (Duty of Care) Regulations 1991 for dealing with waste	
materials are applicable to any off-site movements of wastes.	
The code of practice applies to you if you produce, carry, keep, dispose of, treat, import	
or have control of waste in England or Wales.	
The law requires anyone dealing with waste to keep it safe and make sure it is dealt	
with responsibly and only given to businesses authorised to take it. The code of practice	
can be found here: Waste duty of care: code of practice (accessible version) - GOV.UK	
(www.gov.uk)	
If you need to register as a carrier of waste, please follow the instructions here: Register	
or renew as a waste carrier, broker or dealer - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations Characterisation and Classification of Waste	
In order to meet the applicant's objectives for the waste hierarchy and obligations under	
the duty of care, it is important that waste is properly classified. Some waste (e.g. wood	
and wood-based products) may be either a hazardous or non-hazardous waste	
dependent upon whether or not they have had preservative treatments.	
Proper classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the correct	
onward handling and treatment to be applied. In the case of treated wood, it may	
require high temperature incineration in a directive compliant facility. Cont/d 5	
Waste Hierarchy	

The developer must apply the waste hierarchy as a priority order of prevention, re-use,		
recycling before considering other recovery or disposal options. Government guidance		
on the waste hierarchy in England can be found here:		
Waste hierarchy guidance (publishing.service.gov.uk)		
Management and Reporting Systems		
Where a development involves any significant construction or related activities, we		
would recommend using a management and reporting system to minimise and track the		
fate of construction wastes, such as that set out in PAS402: 2013, or an appropriate		
equivalent assurance methodology. This should ensure that any waste contractors		
employed are suitably responsible in ensuring waste only goes to legitimate		
destinations.		
Further links which may be of use:		
Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)		
Use of waste on-site		
If materials that are potentially waste are to be used on-site, developers will need to		
ensure they can comply with the exclusion from the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)		
(article 2(1) (c)) for the use of, 'uncontaminated soil and other naturally occurring		
material excavated in the course of construction activities, etc' in order for the		
material not to be considered as waste. Meeting these criteria will mean waste		
permitting requirements do not apply.		
Where the applicant cannot meet the criteria, they will be required to obtain the		
appropriate waste permit or exemption from the Environment Agency.		
A deposit of waste to land will either be a disposal or a recovery activity. The legal test		
for recovery is set out in Article 3(15) of WFD as:		
 any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by 		
replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a		
particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in		
the wider economy.		
We have produced guidance on the recovery test which can be viewed at Waste		
recovery plans and deposit for recovery permits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)		
You can find more information on the Waste Framework Directive here:		
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permitting-guidance-thewaste- framework-directive		
More information on the use of waste in exempt activities can be found here:		
https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/waste-exemptions-using-waste		
Non-waste activities are not regulated by us (i.e. activities carried out under the CL:ARE		
Code of Practice), however you will need to decide if materials meet End of Waste or		
By-products criteria (as defined by the Waste Framework Directive).		
6. Renewable energy		
We note there is a section in the Neighbourhood Plan, including Policy ENV14, relating		
to renewable energy generation and it is also discussed in the AECOM Design		
Guidance and Codes. Whilst this is welcomed, ground source heat pumps are not		
End 6		
mentioned, apart from a brief mention on page 73 of the AECOM Design Guidance and		
Codes.		
We note page 55 of the Plan says that the community is keen to explore opportunities		
for renewable technology. Related to this, please see below for some useful information		
which you may wish to refer to in the Neighbourhood Plan:		
Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) systems can be used for heating or cooling		
 and are, in principle, energy and CO2 efficient. However, unless they are		

managed carefully there is the potential that the ground and groundwater can	
eventually warm or cool to a point where the system cannot continue to operate	
efficiently, or at all. Adjacent systems may also interfere with each other. The	
system operator should also consider potential for loss or damage to third	
parties. We expect developers to undertake appropriate prior investigations for	
these systems. This should include environmental risk assessment and method	
statements for the construction and operation of the systems. These may be	
provided as part of the planning process.	
 Please also note that a GSHP system may require an abstraction licence and a 	
discharge consent from the Environment Agency (EA). There is no guarantee	
that these could be granted.	
 More information on the permits required from the EA for both ground source and 	
surface water source systems is available here: Cleves Farm SP454600 268700	
5. Waste Materials	
Further to comments we have previously made, we note the topic of waste is now	
mentioned on pages 54,72,77,80 and 83 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Notes.	
This is welcomed.	
However, still we wish to offer the following comments, which we made at the last stage,	
as general advice about other matters relating to the protection of the environment	
which have to be complied with outside the requirements of Planning Legislation. We	
consider this will be useful for people living and wishing to build in the Parish. On	
reflection, we are not insisting these comments are included in the Plan but they will be	
available for people to see when our consultation response is published.	
Waste permitting	
Developers are advised to find out more information about the permit application	
process online and to send a pre-application enquiry form via the gov.uk website if	
needed: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permit-	
preapplication-advice-form	
In circumstances where an activity/operation meets certain criteria, an exemption from	
permitting may apply. More information on exempt activities can be found here:	
Register, renew or change waste exemptions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations	
The Environmental Protection (Duty of Care) Regulations 1991 for dealing with waste	
materials are applicable to any off-site movements of wastes.	
The code of practice applies to you if you produce, carry, keep, dispose of, treat, import	
or have control of waste in England or Wales.	
The law requires anyone dealing with waste to keep it safe and make sure it is dealt	
with responsibly and only given to businesses authorised to take it. The code of practice	
can be found here: Waste duty of care: code of practice (accessible version) - GOV.UK	
(www.gov.uk)	
If you need to register as a carrier of waste, please follow the instructions here: Register or renew as a waste carrier, broker or dealer - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations Characterisation and Classification of Waste	
In order to meet the applicant's objectives for the waste hierarchy and obligations under	
the duty of care, it is important that waste is properly classified. Some waste (e.g. wood	
and wood-based products) may be either a hazardous or non-hazardous waste	
dependent upon whether or not they have had preservative treatments.	
Proper classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the correct	
The classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the confect	

onward handling and treatment to be applied. In the case of treated wood, it may	
require high temperature incineration in a directive compliant facility.	
Cont/d 5	
Waste Hierarchy	
The developer must apply the waste hierarchy as a priority order of prevention, re-use,	
recycling before considering other recovery or disposal options. Government guidance	
on the waste hierarchy in England can be found here:	
Waste hierarchy quidance (publishing.service.gov.uk)	
Management and Reporting Systems	
Where a development involves any significant construction or related activities, we	
would recommend using a management and reporting system to minimise and track the	
fate of construction wastes, such as that set out in PAS402: 2013, or an appropriate	
equivalent assurance methodology. This should ensure that any waste contractors	
employed are suitably responsible in ensuring waste only goes to legitimate	
destinations.	
Further links which may be of use:	
Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Use of waste on-site	
If materials that are potentially waste are to be used on-site, developers will need to	
ensure they can comply with the exclusion from the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)	
(article 2(1) (c)) for the use of, 'uncontaminated soil and other naturally occurring	
material excavated in the course of construction activities, etc' in order for the	
material not to be considered as waste. Meeting these criteria will mean waste	
permitting requirements do not apply.	
Where the applicant cannot meet the criteria, they will be required to obtain the	
appropriate waste permit or exemption from the Environment Agency.	
A deposit of waste to land will either be a disposal or a recovery activity. The legal test	
for recovery is set out in Article 3(15) of WFD as:	
• any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by	
replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a	
particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in	
the wider economy.	
• We have produced guidance on the recovery test which can be viewed at Waste	
recovery plans and deposit for recovery permits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
You can find more information on the Waste Framework Directive here:	
https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permitting-guidance-thewaste-	
framework-directive	
More information on the use of waste in exempt activities can be found here:	
https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/waste-exemptions-using-waste	
Non-waste activities are not regulated by us (i.e. activities carried out under the CL:ARE	
Code of Practice), however you will need to decide if materials meet End of Waste or	
By-products criteria (as defined by the Waste Framework Directive).	
6. Renewable energy	
We note there is a section in the Neighbourhood Plan, including Policy ENV14, relating	
to renewable energy generation and it is also discussed in the AECOM Design	
Guidance and Codes. Whilst this is welcomed, ground source heat pumps are not	
End 6	
mentioned, apart from a brief mention on page 73 of the AECOM Design Guidance and	
Codes.	
We note page 55 of the Plan says that the community is keen to explore opportunities	

for renewable technology. Related to this, please see below for some useful information	
which you may wish to refer to in the Neighbourhood Plan:	
Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) systems can be used for heating or cooling	
and are, in principle, energy and CO2 efficient. However, unless they are	
managed carefully there is the potential that the ground and groundwater can	
eventually warm or cool to a point where the system cannot continue to operate	
efficiently, or at all. Adjacent systems may also interfere with each other. The	
system operator should also consider potential for loss or damage to third	
parties. We expect developers to undertake appropriate prior investigations for	
these systems. This should include environmental risk assessment and method	
statements for the construction and operation of the systems. These may be	
provided as part of the planning process.	
Please also note that a GSHP system may require an abstraction licence and a	
discharge consent from the Environment Agency (EA). There is no guarantee	
that these could be granted.	
More information on the permits required from the EA for both ground source and	
surface water source systems is available here: Cleves Farm SP454600 268700	
5. Waste Materials	
Further to comments we have previously made, we note the topic of waste is now	
mentioned on pages 54,72,77,80 and 83 of the AECOM Design Guidance and Notes.	
This is welcomed.	
However, still we wish to offer the following comments, which we made at the last stage,	
as general advice about other matters relating to the protection of the environment	
which have to be complied with outside the requirements of Planning Legislation. We	
consider this will be useful for people living and wishing to build in the Parish. On	
reflection, we are not insisting these comments are included in the Plan but they will be	
available for people to see when our consultation response is published.	
Waste permitting	
Developers are advised to find out more information about the permit application	
process online and to send a pre-application enquiry form via the gov.uk website if	
needed: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/environmental-permit-	
preapplication-advice-form	
In circumstances where an activity/operation meets certain criteria, an exemption from	
permitting may apply. More information on exempt activities can be found here:	
Register, renew or change waste exemptions - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations	
The Environmental Protection (Duty of Care) Regulations 1991 for dealing with waste	
materials are applicable to any off-site movements of wastes.	
The code of practice applies to you if you produce, carry, keep, dispose of, treat, import	
or have control of waste in England or Wales.	
The law requires anyone dealing with waste to keep it safe and make sure it is dealt	
with responsibly and only given to businesses authorised to take it. The code of practice	
can be found here: Waste duty of care: code of practice (accessible version) - GOV.UK	
(www.gov.uk)	
If you need to register as a carrier of waste, please follow the instructions here: Register	
or renew as a waste carrier, broker or dealer - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Movement of waste off-site – Duty of Care & Carriers, Brokers and Dealers	
Regulations Characterisation and Classification of Waste	
In order to meet the applicant's objectives for the waste hierarchy and obligations under	

the duty of care, it is important that waste is properly classified. Some waste (e.g. wood and wood-based products) may be either a hazardous or non-hazardous waste	
dependent upon whether or not they have had preservative treatments.	
Proper classification of the waste both ensures compliance and enables the correct	
onward handling and treatment to be applied. In the case of treated wood, it may	
require high temperature incineration in a directive compliant facility.	
Cont/d. 5	
Waste Hierarchy	
The developer must apply the waste hierarchy as a priority order of prevention, re-use,	
recycling before considering other recovery or disposal options. Government guidance	
on the waste hierarchy in England can be found here:	
Waste hierarchy guidance (publishing.service.gov.uk)	
Management and Reporting Systems	
Where a development involves any significant construction or related activities, we	
would recommend using a management and reporting system to minimise and track the	
fate of construction wastes, such as that set out in PAS402: 2013, or an appropriate	
equivalent assurance methodology. This should ensure that any waste contractors	
employed are suitably responsible in ensuring waste only goes to legitimate	
destinations.	
Further links which may be of use:	
Pollution prevention for businesses - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
Use of waste on-site	
If materials that are potentially waste are to be used on-site, developers will need to	
ensure they can comply with the exclusion from the Waste Framework Directive (WFD)	
(article 2(1) (c)) for the use of, 'uncontaminated soil and other naturally occurring	
material excavated in the course of construction activities, etc' in order for the	
material not to be considered as waste. Meeting these criteria will mean waste	
permitting requirements do not apply.	
Where the applicant cannot meet the criteria, they will be required to obtain the	
appropriate waste permit or exemption from the Environment Agency.	
A deposit of waste to land will either be a disposal or a recovery activity. The legal test	
for recovery is set out in Article 3(15) of WFD as:	
 any operation the principal result of which is waste serving a useful purpose by 	
replacing other materials which would otherwise have been used to fulfil a	
particular function, or waste being prepared to fulfil that function, in the plant or in	
the wider economy.	
We have produced guidance on the recovery test which can be viewed at Waste	
recovery plans and deposit for recovery permits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)	
You can find more information on the Waste Framework Directive here:	
https://www.gov.uk/	

Historic England	The area covered by your Neighbourhood Plan includes a number of important designated heritage assets. In line with national planning policy, it will be important that the strategy for this area safeguards those elements which contribute to the significance of these assets so that they can be enjoyed by future generations of the area. If you have not already done so, we would recommend that you speak to the planning and conservation team at your local planning authority together with the staff at the county council archaeological advisory service who look after the Historic Environment Record. They should be able to provide details of the designated heritage assets in the area together with locally-important buildings, archaeological remains and landscapes. Some Historic Environment Records may also be available on-line via the Heritage Gateway (www.heritagegateway.org.uk). It may also be useful to involve local voluntary groups such as the local Civic Society or local historic groups in the production of your Neighbourhood Plan. Historic England has produced advice which your community might find helpful in helping to identify what it is about your area which makes it distinctive and how you might go about ensuring that the character of the area is retained. These can be found at:- THE FOUNDRY 82 GRANVILLE STREET BIRMINGHAM B1 2LH Telephone 0121 625 6888 HistoricEngland.org.uk Historic England is subject to both the Freedom of Information Act (2000) and Environmental Information Regulations (2004). Any Information held by the organisation can be requested for release under this legislation. You may also find the advice in "Planning for the Environment at the Neighbourhood	Noted	None
Historic England	published advice available on our website, "Housing Allocations in Local Plans" as this relates equally to neighbourhood planning. This can be found at	Noted	None
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited - (company number 2904587)			
	National Highways welcomes the opportunity to comment on the reviewed submission draft of The Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan which covers the period from 2011 to 2029. We note that the document provides a vision for the future of the area and sets out a number of key objectives and planning policies which will be used to help determine planning applications. National Highways (formally Highways England) has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as a strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the Strategic Road Network (SRN). It is our role to maintain the safe and efficient operation of the SRN whilst acting as a delivery partner to national economic		
Highways England	growth. In responding to development plan consultations, we have regard to DfT Circular 01/2022: The Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development ('the Circular'). This sets out how interactions with the Strategic Road Network should be considered in the making of plans and development management considerations. In addition to the Circular, the response set out below is also in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and other relevant policies. In relation to the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan, our principal interest is in safeguarding the operation of the SRN and	Noted	None
Highways England	the nearest routes are the M45, located approximately 1km to the north, and the A5 and the M1 located approximately 4.5km to the east of the plan area. The scope and scale of proposed development identified in the current West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy	Noted	None

	Local Plan, accounted for within the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Plan, is modest and shall not have any significant impact on the operation of the SRN.		
	Considering the limited level of growth proposed across the Neighbourhood Development Plan area, as well as that already delivered within the Local Plan period, we do not expect that there will be any impacts on the operation of the SRN. We therefore have no further comments to provide and trust the above is useful in the progression of the Barby and		
Highways England	Onley Neighbourhood Development Plan Review.	Noted	None
	Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.		
	Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 102 and 103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing_fields_policy		
	Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.		
Sports England	Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 102 and 103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in	Noted	None

protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for- sport#playing_fields_policy	
Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.	
Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 102 and 103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing_fields_policy	
Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.	
Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 102 and 103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing_fields_policy	

1	1		1	, ,
		Therefore, it is essential that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national		
		planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Pars 102 and		
		103. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in		
		protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport		
		England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document		
		https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fwww.sportengland.org%2fhow-		
		we-can-help%2ffacilities-and-planning%2fplanning-for-		
		sport%23playing_fields_policy&c=E,1,9jYxP1QCmreFdjd7gjgvIRcjxlCUsU-		
		nLUG5sq7hx930yMaLE4j_Bfgux9-		
	Sports England	TgS1LQaeCCSpzabqkG0MZ1bNl5jMF2oSm8z2fnHogoqKIDu96I_kvkESZG4-IwL0,&typo=1	Noted	None
		Protecting Sport Facilities	Noted	None
		Paragraph 103 of the NPPF offers clear advice on how sport facilities and playing fields		
		should be considered in the planning system. The inclusion of Policy ENV2 which identifies		
		open spaces for protection is welcomed. However, the policy includes playing fields and		
		sports facilities and the criteria requiring proposals to demonstrate that the open space is		
		no longer required by the community does not conform with paragraph 103 which requires		
		an assessment be undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to		
		be surplus to requirements. It is therefore recommended that the wording of the policy is		
		amended to conform with the criteria set out in paragraph 103 which requires that:		
		anended to contern with the cheric occur paragraph 100 which requires that		
		Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields,		
		should not be built on unless:		
		1. an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings		
		or land to be surplus to requirements; or		
		2. the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or		
		better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or		
		3. the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the benefits of which		
		clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use.		
		The inclusion of Policy CS3 which protects community facilities is welcomed. However, this		
		policy also includes playing fields and sports facilities. For this policy to be consistent with		
		paragraph 103 and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy for playing fields and sports		
		facilities, it is recommended that a separate criterion is added which applies to playing fields		
		and sports facilities as parts of the policy, for example, criteria c), are contrary to the		
		wording in paragraph 103 of the NPPF. The new criterion should read:		
		Development proposals which would reduce the quality or quantity of sports facilities or		
		playing field land will only be supported if:		
		An assessment has been undertaken which demonstrates the sports facility or playing field		
		is surplus to requirements; or		
		· Existing facilities are replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quality and		
		quantity and in a suitable location; or		
		The development is for alternative sports and recreation provisions, the benefits of which		
		outweigh the loss.	The criteria listed do not all have to	
		Protecting Sport Facilities	be complied with - they are linked by	
		Paragraph 103 of the NPPF offers clear advice on how sport facilities and playing fields	the word 'or' not 'and. The policy in	
		should be considered in the planning system. The inclusion of Policy ENV2 which identifies	the NP is therefore compliant. We will	
		open spaces for protection is welcomed. However, the policy includes playing fields and	add in the additional element in	Change to be made as
	Sports England	sports facilities and the criteria requiring proposals to demonstrate that the open space is	relation to sports facilities.	indicated.

no longer required by the community does not conform with paragraph 103 which requires an assessment be undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements. It is therefore recommended that the wording of the policy is amended to conform with the criteria set out in paragraph 103 which requires that:	
Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless: 1. an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or 2. the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or 3. the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the benefits of which clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use.	
The inclusion of Policy CS3 which protects community facilities is welcomed. However, this policy also includes playing fields and sports facilities. For this policy to be consistent with paragraph 103 and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy for playing fields and sports facilities, it is recommended that a separate criterion is added which applies to playing fields and sports facilities as parts of the policy, for example, criteria c), are contrary to the wording in paragraph 103 of the NPPF. The new criterion should read:	
Development proposals which would reduce the quality or quantity of sports facilities or playing field land will only be supported if: • An assessment has been undertaken which demonstrates the sports facility or playing field is surplus to requirements; or • Existing facilities are replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quality and quantity and in a suitable location; or • The development is for alternative sports and recreation provisions, the benefits of which outweigh the loss. Protecting Sport Facilities Paragraph 103 of the NPPF offers clear advice on how sport facilities and playing fields should be considered in the planning system. The inclusion of Policy ENV2 which identifies open spaces for protection is welcomed. However, the policy includes playing fields and sports facilities and the criteria requiring proposals to demonstrate that the open space is no longer required by the community does not conform with paragraph 103 which requires an assessment be undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements. It is therefore recommended that the wording of the policy is amended to conform with the criteria set out in paragraph 103 which requires that:	
 Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless: 1. an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or 2. the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or 3. the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the benefits of which clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use. 	
policy also includes playing fields and sports facilities. For this policy to be consistent with	

paragraph 103 and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy for playing fields and sports facilities, it is recommended that a separate criterion is added which applies to playing fields and sports facilities as parts of the policy, for example, criteria c), are contrary to the wording in paragraph 103 of the NPPF. The new criterion should read:	
Development proposals which would reduce the quality or quantity of sports facilities or playing field land will only be supported if: • An assessment has been undertaken which demonstrates the sports facility or playing field is surplus to requirements; or • Existing facilities are replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quality and quantity and in a suitable location; or • The development is for alternative sports and recreation provisions, the benefits of which outweigh the loss. Protecting Sport Facilities Paragraph 103 of the NPPF offers clear advice on how sport facilities and playing fields should be considered in the planning system. The inclusion of Policy ENV2 which identifies open spaces for protection is welcomed. However, the policy includes playing fields and sports facilities and the criteria requiring proposals to demonstrate that the open space is no longer required by the community does not conform with paragraph 103 which requires an assessment be undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to	
be surplus to requirements. It is therefore recommended that the wording of the policy is amended to conform with the criteria set out in paragraph 103 which requires that: Existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land, including playing fields, should not be built on unless:	
 an assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the open space, buildings or land to be surplus to requirements; or the loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or the development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the benefits of which clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use. 	
The inclusion of Policy CS3 which protects community facilities is welcomed. However, this policy also includes playing fields and sports facilities. For this policy to be consistent with paragraph 103 and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy for playing fields and sports facilities, it is recommended that a separate criterion is added which applies to playing fields and sports facilities as parts of the policy, for example, criteria c), are contrary to the wording in paragraph 103 of the NPPF. The new criterion should read:	
Development proposals which would reduce the quality or quantity of sports facilities or playing field land will only be supported if: • An assessment has been undertaken which demonstrates the sports facility or playing field is surplus to requirements; or • Existing facilities are replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quality and quantity and in a suitable location; or • The development is for alternative sports and recreation provisions, the benefits of which outweigh the loss.	

		Active Design - The inclusion within the Design Guidance of the general design principles for development to propose street design that meets the needs of all users; pedestrians, cyclists and those with disabilities, to promote walking and cycling, to provide adequate open space, and to promote green links into the new design to connect with existing neighbourhoods within the parish and surrounding settlements is welcomed. Sport England, in conjunction with Active Travel England and the Office for Health Improvement and Disparities, has produced 'Active Design' (2023), a guide to planning new developments that create the right environment to help people get more active, more often in the interests of health and wellbeing. The guidance sets out ten key principles for ensuring new developments incorporate opportunities for people to take part in sport and physical activity. The Active Design principles are aimed at contributing towards the Government's desire for the planning system to promote healthy communities through good urban design		
	Sports England		Noted	None
to whom the electronic communications code applies by virtue of a direction given under section 106(3)(a) of the Communications Act 2003; and	BT			
who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority	Mobile phone mast operators			
who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority	Mobile phone mast operators			

who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any	
situated in any part of the area of the local	Mobile phone mast
planning authority	operators

1	
Primary Care	
Trust established	
under section 18	
of the National	
Health Service	
Act 2006(a) or	
continued in	
existence by	
virtue of that	
section; Primary	
Care Trust	
established under	
section 18 of the	
National Health	
Service Act	
2006(a) or	
continued in	
existence by	
virtue of that	
section; Primary	
Care Trust	
established under	
section 18 of the	
National Health	
Service Act	
2006(a) or	
continued in	
existence by	
virtue of that	
section; Primary	
Care Trust	
established under	
section 18 of the	
National Health	
Service Act	
2006(a) or	
continued in	
existence by	1 1
virtue of that	
section;	NHS CCG

		National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity		
		transmission		
		system in England and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distribution		
		network operators, so it can reach homes and businesses.		
		National Grid no longer owns or operates the high-pressure gas transmission system across		
		the		
		UK. This is the responsibility of National Gas Transmission, which is a separate entity and		
		must		
		be consulted independently.		
		National Grid Ventures (NGV) develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technologies,		
		and		
		partnerships to help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumers across		
		the UK, Europe and the United States. NGV is separate from National Grid's core regulated		
		businesses. Please also consult with NGV separately from NGET.		
		Proposed development sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET assets:		
		An assessment has been carried out with respect to NGET's assets which include high		
		voltage		
		electricity assets and other electricity infrastructure.		
		NGET has identified that it has no record of such assets within the Neighbourhood Plan		
		area.		
		NGET provides information in relation to its assets at the website below.		
		www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shapefiles/		
		Please also see attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET		
		infrastructure		
		National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity		
		transmission		
		system in England and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distribution		
		network operators, so it can reach homes and businesses.		
		National Grid no longer owns or operates the high-pressure gas transmission system across		
		the		
		UK. This is the responsibility of National Gas Transmission, which is a separate entity and		
		must		
		be consulted independently.		
		National Grid Ventures (NGV) develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technologies,		
		and		
		partnerships to help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumers		
		across		
		the UK, Europe and the United States. NGV is separate from National Grid's core regulated		
		businesses. Please also consult with NGV separately from NGET.		
		Proposed development sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET assets: An assessment has been carried out with respect to NGET's assets which include high		
a person to whom		voltage		
a licence has		electricity assets and other electricity infrastructure.		
been granted		NGET has identified that it has no record of such assets within the Neighbourhood Plan		
under section		area.		
6(1)(b) and (c) of		NGET provides information in relation to its assets at the website below.		
the Electricity Act		www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shapefiles/		
1989	National Grid	Please also see attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET	Noted	None

infrastructure		
National Grid El	ectricity Transmission plc (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity	
transmission		
system in Engla	nd and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distributed	ion
network operate	ors, so it can reach homes and businesses.	
	o longer owns or operates the high-pressure gas transmission system a	cross
the	,	
	responsibility of National Gas Transmission, which is a separate entity a	nd
must		
be consulted in	dependently.	
	entures (NGV) develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technolog	nies
and		gics,
	help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumer	rc l
across	help accelerate the acvelopment of a clean energy ratare for consumer	5
	and the United States. NGV is separate from National Grid's core regula	ated
	ase also consult with NGV separately from NGET.	
	opment sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET assets:	
	has been carried out with respect to NGET's assets which include high	
voltage	has been earlied out with respect to NOLT'S assets which include high	
5	s and other electricity infrastructure.	
	ified that it has no record of such assets within the Neighbourhood Plar	
area.		
	information in relation to its assets at the website below.	
	algrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shape	files/
	attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET	
infrastructure	attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET	
	ectricity Transmission plc (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity	
transmission		
	nd and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distributi	ion
, 5	ors, so it can reach homes and businesses.	
	o longer owns or operates the high-pressure gas transmission system a	cross
the	s longer owns of operates the high pressure gas transmission system a	
	responsibility of National Gas Transmission, which is a separate entity a	nd
must	coportisionity of National das Transmission, which is a separate entry a	
be consulted in	dependently	
	entures (NGV) develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technolog	nies
and		gics,
	help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumer	rc l
across	help accelerate the acvelopment of a clean energy ratare for consumer	5
	and the United States. NGV is separate from National Grid's core regula	ated
	ase also consult with NGV separately from NGET.	
	opment sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET assets:	
	has been carried out with respect to NGET's assets which include high	
voltage		
5	s and other electricity infrastructure.	
	ified that it has no record of such assets within the Neighbourhood Plar	
area.	and that is no record of such assets within the weighbourhood har	·
	information in relation to its assets at the website below.	
	algrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shape	files/
	-g. a contracting and and acterophicity planning authority/shape	

		Please also see attached information outlining guidance on development close to NGET infrastructure	
Sewerage undertaker	Severn Trent		
Water undertaker	Severn Trent		
Sewerage undertaker	Anglian Water		
Voluntary bodies, some or all of			
whose activities benefit all or any	Wildlife Trust for		
part of the neighbourhood area	Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire and Northamptonshire		
aica	normanipuonsinie		

bodies which represent the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups in the neighbourhood area	Diverse Communities Forum			
bodies which represent the interests of different religious groups in the neighbourhood area;	Diverse Communities Forum			
bodies which represent the interests of disabled persons in the neighbourhood area	Disabled People's Forum			
WNC	Developer Contributions	RESPONSE TO THE REGULATION 14 CONSULTATION OF THE DRAFT BARBY AND ONLEY NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2015-2029	Noted	None

Introduction

Thank you for your letter regarding the Regulation 14 consultation on the draft of the Barby and Onley Neighbourhood Development Plan 2015-2029 (the draft Plan). This response is provided by the Development Management (S106) function of the North Northamptonshire Council on behalf of West Northamptonshire Council's Education, Libraries and Digital Infrastructure services, in relation to the proposals and policies set out in the draft Plan. Other service areas may respond separately.

This response follows the principal guidance in the adopted Planning Obligations Framework and Guidance Document "Creating Sustainable Communities – Jan 2015". This sets out the Council's approach to developer contributions including Section 106 planning obligations and sets out the level and type of contributions that would usually be expected to be provided by developers towards the cost of delivering infrastructure (provided by the Council) that is necessary to make development viable and sustainable. A copy of the document is available to download from the Council's website:

https://www.westnorthants.gov.uk/planning-policy/managing-growth

General Comments

The Plan builds on the housing allocations established through the adopted West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (WNJCS) Local Plan (Part 1) which sets out the longterm vision and objectives for the whole of the area covered by Daventry, Northampton and South Northamptonshire for the plan period up to 2029, including strategic policies for steering and shaping development. It identifies specific locations for strategic new housing and employment and changes to transport infrastructure and other supporting community facilities, as well as defining areas where development will be limited. It also helps to ensure the co-ordination and delivery of other services and related strategies.

BOPC Clerk

77 High Street

Naseby

Northants

NN6 6DD

Tel: 0300 126 3000 Email: storm.phillips@northnorthants.gov.uk Our ref: Barby and Onley NHP cons.

Date: 13 July 2024

2

The adopted Settlements and Communities Local Plan (Part 2) (SCLP) for the Daventry area further confirmed that the rural housing need for Daventry District has already been met, and does not therefore make any further specific allocations for additional housing development in the rural area, beyond existing forecast completions. It is noted that the draft Plan sets out an opportunity for small scale development within the Parish, following a call for sites. This has resulting in a new policy in the draft Plan for delivery of up to x4 new dwellings in the Parish in order to help meet local need and support local services. Further development and windfall sites (e.g. infill or redevelopment sites) may also be supported on sites where it complies with the policies of the draft Plan. The importance of Digital Infrastructure is detailed and this approach is supported.

Education

Any new housing coming forward during the draft Plan period may place additional pressure on the demand for and availability of school places across Early Years, Primary, Secondary and Sixth Form ages. As such, developer contributions (such as Section 106 planning obligations and/or Community Infrastructure Levy contributions if applicable) may be required to support investment in new, enhanced and/or improved infrastructure in order to effectively mitigate the impact of new development and ensure that demand for services is met to support long term sustainability.

Such mitigations may include a requirement for planning obligations towards local education infrastructure in order to ensure there is sufficient capacity to accommodate pupil yields arising from new housing development alongside projected population growth figures arising from any increase in birth rates and inward migration.

The Development Management team will work with the relevant provider, local schools, the Education Skills and Funding Agency, and other partners to ensure that suitable provision is available to accommodate all existing and potential future pupils in the area, and to secure Section 106 planning obligations and/or Community Infrastructure Levy contributions where appropriate to support this.

It should be noted that in some cases it may be necessary for the council to forward fund delivery of key infrastructure ahead of planned for development coming forward, for example in order to address the cumulative impact of development across a number of sites, or to respond to site specific opportunities as they arise. In these instances and where it can be demonstrated that future development will benefit from the early delivery of related infrastructure, then developer contributions will be required to retrospectively support its delivery.

Libraries

West Northamptonshire Council is the Library authority for the area. Where a new major development will generate additional need and library space requirement, the Library service requires contributions towards the costs of providing new, extended and/or improved library facilities to support the delivery of growth and to ensure that established national and local levels of service delivery can be maintained. This may include contributions towards community-managed libraries where applicable.

3

The Library service has adopted the National Library Tariff formula produced by the Museums Libraries and Archives Council (MLA). This includes:

• A minimum standard of 30 sq metres of new library space per 1,000 Population.

• A construction and initial equipment cost on a per sq metre basis (adjusted to reflect Northamptonshire building costs), based on BCIS building costs for public libraries. Where there is a direct impact on infrastructure provision relating to new housing development, developer contributions towards Library facilities, services, buildings and equipment will be required through s106 planning obligations. The Council will work with developers, the local planning officers and the Library service to respond to site specific requirements as new planning applications come forward, and to identify opportunities for strategic infrastructure improvements to meet growing demand.

Digital Infrastructure

To help boost fibre broadband connectivity to new developments, the following Informative is proposed for inclusion in any decision notice, should permission be granted for development in the draft Plan area:

The West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Local Plan (Part 1) (December 2014) policy C1, Changing Behaviour and Achieving Modal Shift, states that new development should be accessed by fibre to the premise (FTTP) technology. This supports the government's Gigabit programme and local targets to see 95% full fibre and 99% gigabit coverage by the end of 2029. Developers should approach telecoms providers at the earliest opportunity to agree gigabit-ready infrastructure and connectivity plans. The network capability delivered by full fibre technology supports the fastest broadband speeds available, is considered future proof, and will bring a multitude of opportunities, savings and benefits. It may also add value to the development and is a major selling point to attract potential homebuyers and occupiers, with many people now regarding fast broadband as one of the most important considerations.

Efficiencies can be secured if ducting works and other network infrastructure is planned early and carried out in co-operation with the installations of standard utility works. All works should be compliant with standards for Highways:

http://www.standardsforhighways.co.uk/ha/standards/mchw/index.htm.

Streetworks UK Guidelines on the Positioning and Colour Coding of Underground Utilities' Apparatus can be found here National Joint Utilities Group (streetworks.org.uk). Proposals should also be compliant with Part R, Schedule 1 of the Building Regulations 2010 and the Approved Document R which took effect in December 2022. Some telecoms network providers have dedicated online portals providing advice for developers, including:

Openreach https://www.ournetwork.openreach.co.uk/property-development.aspx

Virgin Media http://www.virginmedia.com/lightning/network-expansion/property-developers

OFNL (GTC) http://www.ofnl.co.uk/developers

CityFibre https://cityfibre.com/enquiries

4

Details of other fibre network providers operating locally can be found here https://superfastnorthamptonshire.net/broadband-availability/telecoms-providers For help and advice on broadband connectivity in West Northamptonshire email the Superfast Northamptonshire team at bigidea.ncc@northnorthants.gov.uk In terms of other infrastructure requirements for which the Council has a statutory responsibility, such as Highways, developer contributions and planning obligation requirements for these would be handled directly by their respective areas within the Council. I would therefore suggest it may also be useful to liaise with these departments directly to ascertain any requirements over and above those mentioned here. I hope that the above information is helpful; please be aware that the comments provided may be subject to change as a result of future updates to the adopted S106 Planning Obligations Framework, in line with any changes to local level and/or national planning policy or legislation.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any queries or require any additional information or clarifications.