

	ORGANISTAION	COMMENT	RESPONSE	CHANGE
	Dunchurch Pools Marina,			
	HMP ONLEY PRISON			
	HMP RYE HILL PRISON			
Onley Grounds Farm	Willoughby Park			
Landowner & submitted site in site call		I would recommend omitting the appendices in the final version and publish them as separate background documents as some members of the public might find 351 pages off putting. In addition, the housing needs assessment has its own appendices which might cause some confusion	Noted, however it is a requirement to include all appendices with the submitted NP	None
Landowner & submitted site in site call		Dandom Properties Limited (DPL) comments on Policy H1: In terms of the housing allocation proposed, the original School Close is a rather odd development probably allowed under the 'exceptions' Local Plan policy at the time it was granted and on the edge of the village confines, further separated from the village by the primary school. Aside from the school and cricket club, it is located some 600+ metres away from the village centre's amenities which might deter walking and therefore encourage car use, especially if elderly/infirm or being less able bodied. The site seems easily capable of accommodating several dwellings and it might be difficult therefore to restrict its development to the four units proposed. As experienced developers and experts on residential development, DPL has grave doubts over the viability of the proposed residential site allocation. WNC's CIL levy is close to £300 per sq m and the affordable housing proposed will either not make any profit or might have to be provided at a loss. Meeting local needs is a laudable objective but these change over time and tend to be out of date within 2 years as has been established at appeal so can be risky with specific detail other than 'to meet a local need at the given time'.	Noted. The site is within the village confines and deemed suitable for development subject to conformity with other development plan policies. The site boundary includes an area for landscaping. The site owner is content with the conditions of development and any agreement with the landowner we have agreed to remove the requirement for affordable housing.	Change to be made as indicated.

Landowner & submitted site in site call		<p>The new WNC Local Plan covers the period up to 2041 and 4 units is therefore unlikely to be sufficient to meet local needs in the village beyond 2029. There will be a few hundred young adults looking to move out of the family home over the next decade in Barby. Without a substantial housing allocation, these young adult residents of the village will have no chance of renting or buying a property where they grew up. The risk is an ageing population which is already acknowledged in the NDP with proportionately less people able to look after them. The national forecast is for 25% of the population to be aged over 65 and given the lack of housing proposed, this percentage is likely to be higher in Barby. It is inevitable that more housing means more customers for the pub and village shop which will help sustain them and prevent their loss which is considered to be a threat to the village as both are under pressure despite appearances in terms of the shop (pub is presently closed). DLP are flexible with regards to their land interests (site reference NP4 on AECOM's site assessment) and would agree to its partial allocation in the NDP. It is better located than the land at School Close as it is closer to the village centre. Housing allocations need to be of a certain size in order to genuinely deliver local needs/affordable housing and still be viable. There would also be other significant benefits to the village such as maintaining the school's capacity, new open space and the potential for financial contributions to enhance the village's present facilities as well as the economic benefits to the community from an increase in patronage and employees. Another significant advantage will be the ability to defend speculative unplanned development which the allocation of 4 units will be unable to do in reality.</p>	<p>Noted, however there is no housing requirement for the Parish from WNC and further infill development to meet a local need is recognised by the NP. The merits of the site as identified by the landowner are noted, however the community chose a different site to allocate and doing so affords full protection as identified by the NPPF. We have agreed, in discussion with the landowner, to remove the requirement for affordable housing on the site allocated in Policy H1 Residential Site Allocation.</p>	<p>Change to be made as indicated.</p>
Parish Business & Landowner	Cadman Sporting	<p>Page 49, Paragraph 1 (Noise) The supporting text to draft Policy ENV 11 Noise refers to the neighbourhood area being intrinsically quiet. Thereafter it states, presumably in reference to Barby Sporting shooting ground and in the context of potential noise pollution, 'A gun club has a licence to shoot twice a week.' Firstly, the reference to a 'licence' is factually incorrect. The site operates under the benefit of a historic planning permission granted in 1977 which imposed conditions on days and times of shooting. As a result, the club lawfully operates two days a week (Wednesdays and Saturdays).</p>	<p>Noted. This reference will be changed to reflect this.</p>	<p>Change to be made as indicated.</p>

<p>Parish Business & Landowner</p>	<p>Cadman Sporting</p>	<p>Earth bunds, which were approved in 2009, have been constructed in the western part of the site and are largely complete. These have been highly effective in reducing the spread of shot from the site and the overall levels of noise the site generates as well as abating a historic noise complaint. A current planning application proposes the creation of additional earth bunds along the southern, eastern and northern boundary of the eastern part of the site to provide additional noise mitigation for the existing shooting operations on the site.</p> <p>Any proposals to extend the consented operations at Barby Sporting would be assessed against Policy EN11 which states that development will only be supported if proposals include specific measures for preventing or mitigating for intrusive or damaging noise. The specific reference to the gun club in the supporting text to Policy EN11 is however considered entirely unnecessary. It inappropriately targets an important local business and recreational facility which is operating fully in compliance with planning conditions imposed on an approved use.</p> <p>In light of the above, Cadman Sporting strongly object to the inclusion of the sentence in the supporting text to Policy ENV 11 'A gun club has a licence to shoot twice a week' which should be deleted in the next draft of the Plan.</p>	<p>Noted. This reference will be changed to reflect this.</p>	<p>Change to be made as indicated.</p>
--	------------------------	---	---	--

<p>Parish Business & Landowner</p>	<p>Cadman Sporting</p>	<p>Env 10 Views The Neighbourhood Plan Review has identified 12 'Important Views' within the area which it states are deemed to be typical within and around the villages and toward the surrounding countryside and important to the setting and character of Barby and Onley. The identified views have resulted from feedback from the Neighbourhood Plan Review consultation exercise and associated 'fieldwork.' Draft Policy ENV 10 seeks to ensure that development proposals should respect and whenever possible protect the views and where there would be an adverse impact, this should be appropriately mitigated.</p> <p>One of the identified viewpoints is Number 11 across land in Cadman Sporting's ownership described as 'Northwest from Norcroft Lane [sic – this should be Nortoft Lane] across open farmland, taking in an historic barn, the Rainsbrook valley and toward Rugby' as below:</p> <p>In response, a neighbourhood plan must meet certain specified 'Basic Conditions' in order to be 'made' and become part of the development plan. This ensures plans contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, have regard to national policy and guidance and are in general conformity with adopted strategic local planning policies. A credible evidence base is important to ensure the Basic Conditions are met.</p> <p>The National Planning Practice Guidance identifies that a neighbourhood plan should be supported by appropriate evidence (Paragraph: 041 Reference ID: 41-041-20140306) and that proportionate, robust evidence should support the choices made and the approach taken with evidence drawn upon to explain succinctly the intention and rationale of the policies in the draft neighbourhood plan (Paragraph: 040 Reference ID: 41-040-2016021).</p> <p>In this regard, there is no published evidence base accompanying the current consultation to clearly demonstrate how these individual viewpoints have been identified and assessed and what makes them 'important' in order to inform the policy as drafted.</p> <p>As a minimum the plan should be supported by an 'Assessment of Important Views' document which sets out a consistent and transparent methodology for evaluating potential important views. This should include an assessment of each view against set criteria such as the landscape designations, direction, type of users, key components and a grading of overall importance to define those views which are special or exceptional enough to be taken forward for protection under Policy ENV10.</p> <p>With regard specifically to Viewpoint 11, the immediate context of this view is subject to current proposals for additional earth bunds in association with existing operations at Barby Sporting shooting ground. In support of</p>	<p>Noted. We will change the reference to Nortoft Lane. Appendix F provides the relevant evidence base.</p>	<p>Change to be made as indicated.</p>
--	------------------------	--	---	--

		<p>the current planning application, a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) has been produced. This confirms that the site is bounded on its eastern edge by a mature hedgerow with scattered trees separating the site from the narrow vehicular route of Nortoft Lane. The surrounding topography changes and existing vegetation around the site provide a good degree of enclosure and provide limited opportunities for partial and distant views beyond. There are no Public Rights of Way (PRoW) in this location and given the nature of road users of Nortoft Lane as a visual receptor, their views are transient and their attention should be on the road ahead.</p> <p>Overall, the LVIA concludes that the landscape value of the site and its immediate context is 'low to medium' and has the capacity to accommodate additional earth bunds without resulting in any unacceptable effects on landscape character or visual amenity. The Council's Landscape Officer has raised no objection to the proposals, subject to conditions.</p> <p>In light of the above assessment, there is no justification for the identification of Viewpoint 11 as an Important View, and given the lack of any supporting evidence base in this regard, Policy ENV11 should be deleted from the next iteration of the Plan</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

Parish Business & Landowner	Cadman Sporting	<p>The existing facility at Barby Sporting is long established and provides important opportunities for rural recreation compatible with its setting in the countryside whilst contributing to the local economy. The plan's overall support of the growth of a stronger local economy is therefore supported. Specifically, Objective 13 which supports rural diversification and rural employment opportunities and draft Policy R2 which supports proposals which sustain and enhance the rural economy. In order to help achieve this objective, the plan should not include an unnecessary, negative connotation to the site in respect of noise and should not introduce an unjustified, restrictive policy protecting views across it.</p> <p>Cadman Sporting Ltd welcome the opportunity of providing comments on the plan and trust the above will be taken into account.</p>	Noise is an important issue locally and we wish to retain the policy as drafted.	None.
Parish Business & Landowner	Barby Moorings			
Landowner & submitted site in site call				
Landowner & submitted site in site call		SEE ENCLOSED		
Landowner & submitted site in site call				
Landowner & submitted site in site call				
Churchwarden	St Marys Church, Barby			

Landowner & charity		<p>As Chair of Trustees for BTEC, I have spent considerable time going through the Draft Neighbourhood Plan Review and currently have no objections to its summary and recommendations.</p> <p>I note that BOPC need to receive any comments before 13th July 2024 so would you mind circulating this to other Trustees for review and comment just in case they have anything to add.</p> <p>Unless anything is forthcoming beforehand, I think we can then advise Faith Gorman of our position – that we are comfortable with the revised plan – but I will look to formally reconfirm this to her ahead of the 13th July deadline. As Chair of Trustees for BTEC, I have spent considerable time going through the Draft Neighbourhood Plan Review and currently have no objections to its summary and recommendations.</p> <p>I note that BOPC need to receive any comments before 13th July 2024 so would you mind circulating this to other Trustees for review and comment just in case they have anything to add.</p> <p>Unless anything is forthcoming beforehand, I think we can then advise Faith Gorman of our position – that we are comfortable with the revised plan – but I will look to formally reconfirm this to her ahead of the 13th July deadline.</p>	Noted	None
Headteacher	Barby CE Primary School			

Parish Resident		<p>POLICY H1: RESIDENTIAL SITE ALLOCATION And Page 259 & 251 I wish to object to the building of residential dwellings on the proposed site of land adjacent to School Close. The land is in extremely close proximity to the communications tower, the water tower and the stormwater run-off and pumping station. Currently School Close is a private road, the maintenance of which includes surface, landscaping, lighting all of which have been funded by the residents of School Close for 17 years. Therefore, as a private road access to the proposed build site will not be and cannot be via this road, as indicated in the planning on this policy and page 259. My understanding is that the Housing Association who owns this land have not given permission for any such through road to be put in place. The whole basis of the recommendation for use of this land as a development site is formed by proposed access to the properties being within the boundary of the village. The information provided on the neighbourhood development plan is therefore not accurate, if houses are built in the field adjacent School Close, then access that is being proposed is not actually a viable option. furthermore, the volume of traffic next to School Close is already at a huge volume comparable to the rest of the village, at School pick up and drop off times. At these times it is absolutely mayhem in this area of the village, with notable issues arising with the road being blocked by parked vehicles, pedestrians at risk already from the exacerbated volume of traffic and observed near misses and road traffic accidents occurring. in the event that additional housing was built this would only serve to increase the volume of traffic and difficulty accessing and exiting School Close, which is already a huge issue at these times. POLICY H1: RESIDENTIAL SITE ALLOCATION And Page 259 & 251 I wish to object to the building of residential dwellings on the proposed site of land adjacent to School Close. The land is in extremely close proximity to the communications tower, the water tower and the stormwater run-off and pumping station. Currently School Close is a private road, the maintenance of which includes surface, landscaping, lighting all of which have been funded by the residents of School Close for 17 years. Therefore, as a private road access to the proposed build site will not be and cannot be via this road, as indicated in the planning on this policy and page 259. My understanding is that the Housing Association who owns this land have not given permission for any such through road to be put in place. The whole basis of the recommendation for use of this land as a development site is formed by proposed access to the properties being within the boundary of the village. The information provided on the neighbourhood development plan is therefore not accurate, if houses are built in the field adjacent School Close, then access that is being proposed is not actually a viable option. furthermore, the volume of traffic next to School Close is already at a huge volume comparable to the rest</p>	Noted, however the site is deemed to be developable and deliverable. If the allocation was further away from the School it would be likely to lead to further car journeys.	None
-----------------	--	--	---	------

		<p>of the village, at School pick up and drop off times. At these times it is absolutely mayhem in this area of the village, with notable issues arising with the road being blocked by parked vehicles, pedestrians at risk already from the exacerbated volume of traffic and observed near misses and road traffic accidents occurring. in the event that additional housing was built this would only serve to increase the volume of traffic and difficulty accessing and exiting School close, which is already a huge issue at these times.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

Parish Resident		Phrased from emails recived on 22/3, 4/7 & 11/7 as requested by Mr French.My issue is in respect of the village boundary to the rear of my property (27 Brackendale Drive, Barby). The entirety of my former property (25 Brackendale Drive) is included within the village boundary, including the stables which I erected to the rear of that house. Strangely, the garden to the rear of where I now live, which also has stables in place which were erected before I moved to the village, is not included within the village boundary. I have highlighted the differences on an attachment, to demonstrate the arrangement of the line and rear gardens, it also looks as though it affects the neighbours at 29 and 31 Brackendale Drive. Following the line around the village, it seems as though this is the only situation where this has arisen and I ask that this be re-drawn to match those others around the road.It is not understood why the village boundary kinks in and cuts through my rear garden rear garden there has never been any commentary or evidence to justify this rather irregular change in approach where it is clear that the boundary has largely been demarked by residential boundaries	The Village Confines are as defined by WNC and have not been altered through the process of preparing a Neighbourhood Plan. Any location that relates more to the countryside than the built up area is generally excluded from the Village Confines.	None
Parish Resident		p32 Is plan accurate on blue bits and pink bits. exclusion of copse in meadow?	We believe it to be accurate	None
Parish Resident		p36 Trees and woodland: The scarcity of trees, and especially woodland, in the Neighbourhood Area means that all surviving individuals, groups and areas, including but not restricted to those already designated (e.g. as Local Wildlife Site or priority habitat) are a vital component of the Area's biodiversity value and a bulwark against further biodiversity net loss. Development proposals, and decisions made in response to them, should always consider, and act on, the avoidance of destruction of trees and woodland. - also anything which is likely to put pressure on nearby trees for suture heavy pruning or removal p36 Trees and woodland: The scarcity of trees, and especially woodland, in the Neighbourhood Area means that all surviving individuals, groups and areas, including but not restricted to those already designated (e.g. as Local Wildlife Site or priority habitat) are a vital component of the Area's biodiversity value and a bulwark against further biodiversity net loss. Development proposals, and decisions made in response to them, should always consider, and act on, the avoidance of destruction of trees and woodland. - also anything which is likely to put pressure on nearby trees for suture heavy pruning or removal	Agreed.	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		p36 Needs proof reading and amending as necessary as it contains duplicate paragraphs.Great crested newts	Agreed.	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		p35 and p37 have duplicate paragraphs/content. Is this intentional?	The references will be amended where appropriate.. There are similar but intentionally different paragraphs referring to Policies ENV 3 and ENV 4.	Change to be made as indicated.

Parish Resident		p37 full tree Survey, undertaken by a qualified arboriculturist , that...Same as for the ecologist bit p37 full tree Survey, undertaken by a qualified arboriculturist , that...Same as for the ecologist bit	Agreed.	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		p65 Broadband Proposals to provide access to a super-fast broadband service (including future developments at present unforeseen) and improve the mobile telecommunication network that will serve businesses and other properties within the Parish will be supported. As long as they don't damage the environment and trench through tree roots... This may require above ground network installations, which must be sympathetically located and designed to integrate into the landscape and not be located in or near open landscapes. They should not damage the environment and trench through tree roots... p65 Broadband Proposals to provide access to a super-fast broadband service (including future developments at present unforeseen) and improve the mobile telecommunication network that will serve businesses and other properties within the Parish will be supported. As long as they don't damage the environment and trench through tree roots... This may require above ground network installations, which must be sympathetically located and designed to integrate into the landscape and not be located in or near open landscapes. They should not damage the environment and trench through tree roots...	It is considered that the policy does enough as presently worded to secure the protections necessary.	None
Parish Resident		p321 what about rest of Barby Meadow Woods site? inc Scotts Corner and Townlands Spinney? p321 what about rest of Barby Meadow Woods site? inc Scotts Corner and Townlands Spinney?	These areas are covered under Barby Meadows Woods, but not specifically highlighted.	None

Parish Resident		<p>p327. The village was once used in WW11 prisoner of war camp and ammunition site has great value to the village. Typo?? and not factual.</p> <p>There is an abundance of wildlife at Onley Park Village, we are extremely lucky to have so much on our doorstep, Foxes, hedgehogs, different species of dear, badger sets, woodpeckers we know of 3 types, several different owls, bats, Rabbits to name but a few. There are too many to name them all. So many types of species of birds that we are lucky who nest here. Our village has very small amounts of light pollution and very small amount of through traffic within the actual village which helps with the wildlife habitat.</p> <p>Needs amendingp327. The village was once used in WW11 prisoner of war camp and ammunition site has great value to the village. Typo?? and not factual.</p> <p>There is an abundance of wildlife at Onley Park Village, we are extremely lucky to have so much on our doorstep, Foxes, hedgehogs, different species of dear, badger sets, woodpeckers we know of 3 types, several different owls, bats, Rabbits to name but a few. There are too many to name them all. So many types of species of birds that we are lucky who nest here. Our village has very small amounts of light pollution and very small amount of through traffic within the actual village which helps with the wildlife habitat.</p> <p>Needs amending</p>	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		p328, 329, 330, As above at 327	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.

Parish Resident		<p>p331 As above and also Not publicly accessible... 3rd prison HMP Rye Hill was built back in 2000. The field is now home to several ponies/horses belonging to residents, the land is on lease from the Ministry of Justice to Mr Brooks. Not entirely open to the public due to housing horses. by residents is owned by the MOJ and leased to Mr Brooks. The field is home to many wildlife including foxes, hedgehogs' rabbits and many more animals and vertebrates. Needs amending! p331 As above and also Not publicly accessible... 3rd prison HMP Rye Hill was built back in 2000. The field is now home to several ponies/horses belonging to residents, the land is on lease from the Ministry of Justice to Mr Brooks. Not entirely open to the public due to housing horses. by residents is owned by the MOJ and leased to Mr Brooks. The field is home to many wildlife including foxes, hedgehogs' rabbits and many more animals and vertebrates. Needs amending!</p>	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		<p>p332 Open space area it has been well kept by a family who reside at Onley Park. The land is on lease from the Ministry of Justice to the Parish Council. Great to watch any sunset at the end of the. Not public access. Don't mention a family. Last sentence cut short. It is a very special place and was in a terrible state whilst the MOJ were in control of the land, This was until a family took it upon themselves to tidy the area and make it safe for residents to use safely, The Parish council has also recently taken up leasing the green space to keep it to the condition it has now become. It is full of wildlife and good place to watch the sunset.</p>	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		<p>p33 For the village is it a fabulous place and large open green area for the villagers to use. We are very lucky to be able to see an abundance of stars on clear nights. Not related to play area. Duplication.</p>	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.
Parish Resident		<p>p334, 335, 336, 337,338, 339 - more waffle, inaccuracies, changes in font and duplication of irrelevant content</p>	The reference will be changed to reflect this	Change to be made as indicated.